

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></li> <li>• <i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stream sediment samples were collected as 3 to 4 kg composites from active sediments on the primary (first to third order) streams at an average density of 0.4 samples per km<sup>2</sup>. Samples were obtained using a combination of picks and shovels from multiple points within a c.10m<sup>2</sup> area around a central sampling point and collected in a sample bag. The samples were transported to a base in Ngaoundéré where they underwent sieving through a stack of 3 sieves with each coarse fraction panned. The final fine fraction (passing 125 microns) was flocculated and transferred to a tyvex sample bag for drying. Fine fraction samples of the samples reported to date averaged 0.88kg dry weight (ranging from 0.15 to 1.95 kg).</li> <li>• Systematic soil samples were taken on either a 400mx200m or 400mx100m grid, with infill soil sampling programmes collected on 100mx25m and 100mx50m grids (over the MB01 prospect and the wider Mbe licence, respectively);</li> <li>• Typically, soil samples were taken from the upper saprolite zone, at approximately 40-50 cm below surface. Each 3-4kg sample was collected in a labeled plastic bag; soil samples were dried at ambient temperature, photographed, and sieved using a stack of 3 sieves with the final fraction passing a 125-micron sieve.</li> <li>• At Mbe, multiple phases of rock-chip samples were collected for geological analysis, by Oriole and also by BCM International Limited (BCM) as part of a Due Diligence review of the project.</li> <li>• Oriole collected samples for geochemical analysis from outcrops showing mineralisation, with alteration and/or quartz veining, where sheared and deformed and plus or minus boxworks of sulphides, along with examples of representative host rocks. Each sample, totalling ~3kg in weight, comprised rock chips collected using a geological hammer.</li> <li>• Oriole collected an additional 27 rock-chip samples (0.5 -1.5kg each) for thin section analyses and technical studies.</li> <li>• Oriole also collected nineteen channel-chip samples (22 including QAQC) from Mbe, using the same methodology as per the rock-chip</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>samples but over specific intervals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two phases of trenching have been completed, with samples collected as a continuous channel (where possible), from the trench wall approximately 30-40cm above the base of the trench. Samples were mostly composited at 2m intervals, taking into account lithological boundaries, and were typically not less than 1m if reduced. Composite samples were typically between 4 and 5 kg in weight.</li> <li>• All Oriole rock-chip and channel-chip (including trenching) samples were collected in uniquely labelled bags and transported from the field to an internal preparation laboratory in Yaoundé, owned and operated by BEIG3. All rock samples were processed to produce a pulverised sample, a 50-60g split of which was sent to Bureau Veritas, Abidjan for geochemical analysis. Thin section and technical study samples were shipped directly to the UK.</li> <li>• As part of a due-diligence review by BCM, a total of 542 channel chips (600 including QAQC) and 97 rock chip samples (107 including QAQC) were collected from artisanal mining pits and outcrops respectively. Rock-chip samples from outcrops (grab samples') were collected using a rock hammer for ~2.5 to 3.0 kg of material. Channel-chip samples were collected either vertically or horizontally from pit walls (orientation perpendicular to the dominant vein set where apparent) and over variable lengths to collect representative samples weighing ~2.5 to 3.0 kg. All pit wall samples were collected from in-situ saprolite or saprock.</li> <li>• Rock-chip and channel-chip samples were collected in uniquely labelled bags and shipped to the BEIG3 laboratory in Yaoundé for processing to produce a pulverised sample, a 50-60g split of which was subsequently shipped to Intertek, Ghana for geochemical analysis.</li> <li>• To date a total of 12,545 soil samples, 158 stream samples, 210 rock chip samples, and 1,979 channel-chip samples (1,357 being trench samples from three trenches) (all values including QAQC and thin section/technical study samples) for a total of 14,892 samples have been collected at Mbe.</li> </ul>
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Phase 1 diamond drilling at MB01-S, has been completed for 6828.40m in 24 holes.</li> <li>• Phase 2 diamond drilling at MB01-N has been completed for 2,982.80m in 15 holes (not including 17.60m of abandoned drilling in</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>hole MBDD039, which was re-drilled).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Phase 3 diamond drilling at MB01-S was completed for 2,476.80m in 10 holes (not including 11.60m of abandoned drilling in hole MBDD041, which was re-drilled)</li> <li>Diamond coring used PQ for the first c.10m and HQ3 thereafter.</li> <li>Core orientation - Champion core tool system for HQ.</li> <li>Downhole survey – Reflex EZ-Trac multi-shot tool.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Drill sample recovery</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> <li><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Diamond Core:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core recovery, RQD and metres drilled recorded by field geologists at drill site, prior to transfer of the core to the core shed.</li> <li>Length of core recovered recorded as a percentage of the drill run. RQD recorded as the total cumulative length of naturally un-fractured pieces measuring &gt;10cm.</li> <li>Geotechnical data was recorded on field sheets and transferred to the company's DataShed 5 database using Log Chief.</li> <li>Core recoveries across Phase 1 to Phase 3 was &gt;95% for all final holes.</li> <li>Core recovery is considered sufficient for the purpose of resource estimation.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Logging</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></li> <li><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Trench samples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All trench samples have been geologically logged using a coding system for key observations on lithology, grain size, alteration, minerals, structures and veins;</li> <li>Logging has been done using a qualitative and quantitative approach;</li> <li>Field sketches of recorded geology have been digitised;</li> <li>All trenches and selected samples were photographed.</li> </ul> <p>Diamond core:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All core samples have undergone detailed (qualitative and quantitative) geological logging using a coding system for key observations including lithology, grain size, colour, alteration, mineralisation, foliation and oxidation;</li> <li>Structural logging of the core was undertaken over key zones of mineralisation;</li> <li>Where analysed, magnetic susceptibility measurements were taken</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>over the entire length of the core;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A photographic record of the core was made prior to cutting and sampling.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li>• <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li>• <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stream samples (in tyvek bags) were hung and dried at room temperature at the base in Ngaoundéré. Once completely dry, the bulk samples were shipped directly to Bureau Veritas laboratory to be homogenised and sub-sampled for assay.</li> <li>• For the regional soil sampling programmes, c.200g of the material passing 125-fraction sieve was split into approximately two 100g subsamples using a riffle splitter. c.100g was sent directly to the Bureau Veritas laboratory to be homogenised and further sub-sampled for assay. The remaining c.100g was retained to enable future analysis for multi-element using a handheld, portable X-ray diffraction analyser (pXRF).</li> <li>• Diamond core was cut in half lengthways using a diamond saw along the orientation line. More friable material was split using a knife.</li> <li>• The half-core was sampled, generally on 1 m intervals, subject to lithological boundaries and recovery. Sample intervals less than 1 m were taken over areas of interest. Sample intervals greater than 1 m were taken over visually unmineralised/unaltered core and in areas of more friable/oxidised material where core recovery was less than 70%. The same side of the core was consistently sampled. The unsampled portion of the core was returned to the core tray, with the bottom-of-hole clearly marked.</li> <li>• Quarter core was sampled for field duplicates.</li> <li>• For Phase 1 drilling and Phase 3 drilling to date, all core samples (with the exception of the shallow, poorly recovered soils/shallow weathered zones) were sampled.</li> <li>• For Phase 2 drilling, the majority of material was sampled with the exception of both the shallow, poorly recovered soils/weathered material, and some intervals of late-stage mafic dykes that were proven to be barren in Phase 1 drilling.</li> <li>• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique is consistent with industry standard practices.</li> <li>• The sample preparation technique and sample sizes are considered appropriate to the material being sampled.</li> <li>• All rock chip, channel-chip and diamond drill core samples were</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>processed at the BEIG3 laboratory in Yaoundé. Samples were dried in an oven at 80°C for 8 to 12 hours and were then crushed and riffle-split to produce 1kg sub-samples;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 1kg crushed samples were pulverised, with 90% of material passing a 75-micron sieve.</li> <li>• For rock chips, channel chip, and channel samples collected before 2024 - 50-60g of that pulverised sample was collected, bagged and labelled ready for dispatch to an internationally-accredited analytical lab. From the 2024 trenching programme onwards, c.100g of all processed rock chip, channel-chip and channel samples was retained to enable future analysis for multi-element data using a pXRF.</li> <li>• For the drill core samples analysed for Au using photon assay, ~500g pulp subsamples were collected, bagged and labelled for dispatch to Intertek Ghana. As photon assay is a non-destructive method, a sub-sample of the same material was used for fire assay analyses.</li> <li>• For standard fire assay samples, not conducted at Intertek, the above-described protocol (for rock chips, channel chips, and channel samples) was conducted.</li> <li>• A coarse reject from the 1kg crushed material and pulp reject (from the pulverised sample) are retained and secured for future use or need;</li> <li>• Where included within internal QAQC protocols, Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) from GEOSTATS Australia, duplicates (lab and field), and blanks were inserted before submission to the lab.</li> <li>• In addition to and following geochemical analysis, 14 short sections of core were selected for thin section analysis.</li> </ul>
<p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li>• <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An orientation study of the wider Eastern CLP area (five contiguous licences of which Mbe is the north-easternmost licence) was conducted on 16 stream sediment samples (2 of which were from Mbe) where Au was analysed by an Aqua Regia digest followed by an AAS finish, and 34 other elements were analysed using Aqua Regia digest followed by ICP-ES finish.</li> <li>• All stream and soil samples from Mbe were analysed for Au using fire assay on a 50 g charge, then analysed using solvent extraction with an AAS finish (1 ppb detection limit).</li> <li>• All stream samples and 2,075 soil samples were analysed for 45</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>elements using a 4-acid digest followed by an ICP-MS finish. Detection limits varied depending on element.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All rock-chip and channel-chip samples at Mbe were analysed for gold using a fire assay with AAS finish technique. For Oriole samples, where samples returned Au &gt; 10g/t, a gravimetric finish was undertaken by Bureau Veritas. Detection limits were 0.01 ppm or 0.01 g/t Au for AAS and 0.9 ppm or 0.9 g/t Au for the gravimetric finish. BCM samples were analysed for gold using a similar Fire Assay with AAS finish technique, with a detection limit of 0.01 ppm or 0.01 g/t Au at Intertek.</li> <li>• As part of an orientation survey to test Au assay methods during Phase 1 drilling, diamond drill core samples (MBDD001 - MBDD004) have been analysed for gold using both photon assay and fire assay techniques at Intertek, Ghana, to ascertain the best technique to use going forward. Detection limits were 0.02g/t Au for photon assay, and 0.01g/t Au for fire assay. This was completed on the same sample pulp as photon assay is non-destructive. Holes MBDD001 to MBDD003 were also analysed for Au by fire assay at Bureau Veritas, Côte d'Ivoire (subsamped from the same prepared sample pulp).</li> <li>• Upon completion of the orientation study, it was decided that from MBDD005 onwards, all samples were to be analysed by fire assay at Bureau Veritas, Côte d'Ivoire.</li> <li>• QAQC procedures for all rock chips, trenching, and diamond drill core samples targeting Au, included the insertion of commercial Au certified reference materials (CRMs) (from Geostats Australia), blanks and field duplicates to monitor the accuracy and precision of laboratory data. CRMs and field duplicates were also inserted into the stream sediment sample batches. However, due to the anticipated low gold in stream sediments and soil samples, no blanks were included.</li> <li>• For the stream sample analyses, standards represented 5.1% of the analyses, with field duplicates representing 2.5%.</li> <li>• For the soil sample analyses to date, standards represented 2.3%, field duplicates represented 1.3% and laboratory prep duplicates represented 0.5%.</li> <li>• For the rock chip samples, standards represent 4.5%, field duplicates represent 4.0%, laboratory prep duplicates represent 1.3%, and blanks represent 2.7%.</li> <li>• For non-trenching channel-chip samples, standards represent 2.4%,</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>field duplicates represent 5.0%, and blanks represent 2.4%.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For trenching samples, standards represent 2.2%, field duplicates represent 2.4%, prep duplicates represent 1.6%, and blanks represent 2.4%.</li> <li>For Phase 1 diamond drilling samples, standards represent 4.8%, field duplicates represent 3.5%, prep duplicates represent 3.5%, and blanks represent 7.0%.</li> <li>For Phase 2 diamond drilling samples, standards represent 6.3%, field duplicates represent 3.1%, prep duplicates represent 3.2%, and blanks represent 6.2%.</li> <li>The overall quality of QA/QC is good.</li> <li>QAQC for Phase 3 diamond drilling samples will be confirmed upon receipt of all results, but includes standards, field duplicates, prep duplicates, and blanks.</li> <li>A selection of 450 umpire samples across both phases of trenching at MB01 (representing 10.5%) were submitted for umpire sampling at Intertek Ghana and analysed for Au using a comparable FA with an AAS finish method. The samples showed a good correlation between the two laboratory datasets (correlation co-efficient of 0.94) indicating good repeatability of the results between laboratories.</li> <li>A selection of 420 samples from Phase 1 drilling were submitted for umpire sampling at Intertek Ghana and analysed for Au using a comparable FA with AAS method. The samples showed a good correlation between the two laboratory datasets (correlation co-efficient of 0.99) indicating good repeatability of the results between laboratories.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All point and linear samples collected by Oriole (streams, soils, rock-chip, channel-chip and trenching samples) were submitted to Bureau Veritas in Côte d'Ivoire, an internationally accredited laboratory (ISO 9001:2008 accredited), for gold analysis. All non-orientation stream samples, and Grid #1 soils (which included a sub-set of Mbe soils) were subsequently sent by Bureau Veritas to its laboratory in Canada (also ISO 9001:2008 accredited) for multi-element analysis.</li> <li>All rock-chip and channel-chip samples collected by BCM were sent to Intertek, Ghana (ISO 9001:2008 accredited) for gold analysis.</li> <li>Diamond drilling samples from MBDD001 to MBDD004 were sent to Intertek Ghana, and subsamples (of the sample pulp) from MBDD001 to MBDD003 were also sent to Bureau Veritas in Côte d'Ivoire.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diamond drilling samples from MBDD005 onwards were sent to Bureau Veritas, Côte d'Ivoire.</li> <li>• Once results are received, assay information is uploaded to the Company's DataShed 5 database, while original assay files (.pdf and .csv) are saved on the Company's server.</li> </ul>
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All stream sediment, soil, rock chip and channel-chip sample locations were surveyed using a hand-held GPS.</li> <li>• Trenches were surveyed using a DGPS.</li> <li>• Diamond drill collars are positioned using a hand-held GPS and are routinely re-surveyed using a DGPS upon completion of each programme.</li> <li>• Coordinates were recorded in UTM WGS84 Zone 33N (Northern Hemisphere) coordinate reference system.</li> </ul>
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regional-scale stream sediment sampling has focused on testing the primary (first to third order) stream beds, with a data density of 0.4 samples per km<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>• Soil sampling has been undertaken over two grids at Mbe covering 'Priority 1' gold targets identified during stream sediment campaign. Sample lines were spaced 400m apart and oriented 135°-315°; samples were taken at a spacing of 200m along the lines. Infill soil sampling was conducted along lines spaced at 100m intervals oriented 135°-315°, with along-line sampling at either 25m (over the MB01 target) or 50m (over a wider grid to cover the anomalism highlighted by the regional soil sampling).</li> <li>• Rock-chip samples were selectively collected at outcrops where features/characteristics of interest were identified by the field geologists. Channel-chip samples were collected from artisanal mining pits where features/characteristics of interest were identified by the field geologists.</li> <li>• Two phases of trenching have been completed, with Phase 1 trenching completed at 200m spacing, subsequently infilled to 100m line spacing with the Phase 2 infill trenching. Trench lines were oriented approximately 110° to 290° for the eight Phase 1 and 2 trenches targeting MB01-N (therefore trenches MBT001 to MBT003 and MBT014), and approximately E-W (090° - 270°) for all other Phase 1 and 2 trenches.</li> <li>• The Phase 1 drilling programme at MB01-S was conducted along E-W trenching fence lines at a maximum of ~100m spacing between</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>fence lines, and typically between ~80m and 250m spacing along fences.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The first four holes (MBDD001 to MBDD004) representing scissor hole pairs, are spaced ~250m apart along fence lines, two drilled towards 090° and two drilled towards 270° to assess the best orientation for the rest of the drilling programme. The remainder of the programme (MBDD005 to MBDD024) was drilled towards 270° due to the information acquired from the scissor hole drilling.</li> <li>• The Phase 2 drilling programme was similarly planned along E-W fencelines, with 60m and 90m spacing along fences where there are multiple holes on the same fence line. Thirteen of the fifteen holes were towards 270° (MBDD025 – MBDD033, MBDD035 – MBDD037, MBDD039), except for MBDD034 which was drilled as a scissor hole, towards 090°, and MBDD038 which was drilled towards 180° to test E-W trending structures.</li> <li>• Phase 3 drilling was planned to target lateral extensions of the MB01-S deposit. Holes were planned on either new fence lines to the north and south of the deposit at 100m spacing, on existing fence lines, or on new fence lines infilling the existing 100m spacing. Hole spacing along-lines was typically &lt;100m with respect to previously drilled holes and/or newly planned/drilled holes. Holes were drilled towards 270° with a -50° inclination.</li> <li>• A JORC Compliant Exploration Target was published for the MB01 prospect in July 2025 (see section 2 for further details). The Maiden Mineral Resource Estimates for the MB01-S zone, published in October 2025, and the MB01-N zone, published in April 2026 (see Section 3 for further details), have superseded the Exploration Target.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Sample security</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stream sediment samples were transferred from the field to a secure base in Ngaoundéré by Oriole Resources-employed staff, where they were stored prior to dispatch.</li> <li>• Soil samples were transferred from the field to either the Bibemi or Mbe field camps for processing (depending on when the samples were taken) and storage prior to dispatch.</li> <li>• Both stream and soil samples were sent by DHL in secured metal boxes to the laboratory (Bureau Veritas - Cote d'Ivoire); At arrival, batch logging and official check-in (bar-coding, for tracking purposes) of samples was carried out before sample preparation and analysis.</li> <li>• Rock-chip, channel-chip (including trenching), and diamond drill core</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		samples were transferred to BEIG3's laboratory in Yaoundé in secured metal boxes for sample processing. 27 rock chip samples selected for thin section analyses and technical studies were secured in metal boxes and shipped from Yaoundé to the UK.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Internal reviews on sampling and assaying results were conducted for all data.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Central Licence Package comprises eight contiguous licences in central Cameroon that cover a total area of 4,091km<sup>2</sup>. Five licences in the east (Niambaram, Tenekou, Pokor, Mbe and Ndom) are known as the Eastern CLP. The Mbe licence is ~312km<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>The Mbe licence is held by Oriole Mbe SARL.</li> <li>As of June 2026, BCM International has earned a 50% beneficial interest in the Mbe project, and Oriole has completed the acquisition of its local partner's 10% interest, therefore both companies have a 50% ownership of the project.</li> <li>The Mbe licence is in its second term and is valid until 27 June 2026 and the licence renewal application has been submitted to the Ministry.</li> <li>There are no known environmental liabilities associated with the project or licences at this time.</li> <li>There are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The project area is a greenfield site and the Company believes there to have been no previous exploration.</li> </ul>
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prospective area for orogenic gold hosted by greenschist to amphibolite grade Pan-African rock formations, associated with the Tcholliré-Banyo Shear zone in central Cameroon.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Drill hole Information</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li>○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li>○ <i>hole length.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A table of all drill collars and relevant mineralised intersections calculated using both photon assay and fire assay data, is presented in Appendix 2.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Data aggregation methods</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li>• <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li>• <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intersection calculations for the trenching programme are presented in Appendix 2. Intersections for the trenching results were calculated using a 0.20 g/t Au cut-off and contain no more than 6.00m internal dilution.</li> <li>• Diamond drill core samples from MBDD001 to MBDD004 were analysed by both photon assay and fire assay methods. After the orientation study was completed, it was decided that fire assay is the preferred method going forward, and previously reported photon assay results have been superseded by fire assay results, as presented in Appendix 2.</li> <li>• Intersection calculations for the drilling programmes are presented in Appendix 2. Intersections were calculated using a 0.20g/t Au cut-off grade, and no more than 5m consecutive dilution or 35% total dilution.</li> <li>• A JORC-compliant Exploration Target was previously reported for the MB01 prospect in July 2025. Subsequent drilling and evaluation have resulted in the definition of Mineral Resource Estimates for both MB01-S and MB01-N. The Exploration Target is no longer considered current and has been superseded by the reported Mineral Resources.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li>• <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At present, the true widths of mineralisation are not known due to the complex structural components. Once a better understanding of the overall mineralisation orientation is known, representations of true widths will be stated.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See Appendix 1 for a map showing sample locations (actual and planned) for the stream sediment sampling campaign over the entire Central Licence Package. Results relate to the five Eastern CLP licences, including Mbe.</li> <li>• See Appendix 1 for maps showing the sample locations for the Phase 1 soil sampling campaign over ranked 'Priority 1' targets in the five Eastern CLP exploration licences, including Mbe.</li> <li>• See Appendix 1 for maps showing the locations of rock-chip sampling (three phases) and channel-chip sampling at Mbe (also includes two example channel sample photos and intervals).</li> <li>• See Appendix 1 for maps showing the location of the trenching and drilling programmes.</li> </ul>
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See Appendix 1 for a map showing the rock-chip sampling results at Mbe, where results for all samples are plotted as grade ranges, including those that are considered below the economic threshold.</li> <li>• See Appendix 1 for a map showing the infill soil sampling where a series of data points have been filtered out as they were taken from alluvial material and were thus considered to present a positive bias.</li> <li>• See Appendix 2 for best intersection calculations for MBDD001 to MBDD004 using both photon assay (Table 2), and fire assay (included within Table 3). The Company has opted to use fire assay methods for MBDD005 onwards, and so the fire assay data has superseded the photon assay data.</li> </ul>
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A desktop remote sensing report was commissioned across the Central Licence Package which defined a series of initial priority targets from a combination of literature data and interpretation of freely available satellite and radiometric data.</li> <li>• A ground geophysics programme has been conducted over a portion of the Mbe prospect. This involved the collection of ground magnetic data by Oriole technicians. Oriole technicians were trained by a team from Institute Géosciences De Dakar (IDGK) while working on the Bibemi project. Sampling methodology was approved by Terra Resources, an Australian based consultancy who also undertook QAQC of the data, confirming the data quality was good. Terra is currently completing the final processing, inversion modelling, and reporting. See Appendix 1 for a preliminary total magnetic intensity (TMI) image.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SEMS Exploration has completed an independent structural review of MB01. The work concluded with a report focusing on both MB01-S and MB01-N following both desk-based work and a site visit in 2025.</li> </ul>
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following completion of the MB01-S Step out programme, the next steps are for Forge International to integrate the recent drilling results into an update MRE for MB01-S. Further exploration work will likely commence in the next field season, after the rainy season, in Q3-2026.</li> </ul>

### Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Database integrity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</i></li> <li><i>Data validation procedures used.</i></li> </ul>	<p>10% of the raw laboratory assay certificates were compared to the database and no transcription or keying errors were identified.</p> <p>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted between initial collection and use for Mineral Resource estimation.</p> <p>Assay data are imported into the DS5 database via an automated assay loader. The batch processing is partially automated and requires manual confirmation before data are appended to the master database.</p> <p>The process follows the procedures described in the <i>Assay Manager User Guide</i> (pages 40–46). As data are received from the laboratory, they are uploaded into the DS5 Assay Manager. A Quality Control (QC) Policy is applied to all incoming data, including checks on Certified Reference Materials (CRMs), blanks, and duplicate samples.</p> <p>A batch is automatically accepted into the database if it meets all of the following parameters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All CRMs within <math>\pm 1\sigma</math> of their certified values;</li> <li>All blanks &lt; detection limit (DL = 0.01 ppm);</li> <li>Field duplicates show &lt;30% variance and preparation duplicates &lt;20% variance.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>If any of these parameters are not met, the batch is held for manual review using the integrated QA/QC dashboard. Batches are generally accepted if CRMs are within <math>\pm 3\sigma</math> and blanks <math>\leq 3 \times DL</math> (0.03 ppm). Variance in duplicates is assessed case by case.</p> <p>Where CRMs exceed <math>\pm 3\sigma</math> or blanks exceed 0.03 ppm, the relevant sequence of samples between adjacent acceptable CRMs is typically selected for re-assay. However, some instances where CRM failures with no material results within the adjacent samples were not re-analyses. Where re-assaying is completed, replacement data are uploaded once quality criteria are met, and the previous results are overwritten in DS5.</p> <p>The collar, survey, lithology and assay data were validated when imported into Leapfrog Geo 2024.1.2 (“Leapfrog”), using the drillhole data validation routine. The routine checks for overlapping intervals, from depth &gt; to depths, duplicate locations, out of place non-numerical values, missing collar and survey data, and any down-hole intervals that exceed the maximum collar depth. No errors were noted.</p>
<p>Site visits</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i></li> <li>• <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	<p>A site visit to the Mbe Gold Project, Cameroon, was undertaken by Mr. Mitko Ligovski MSc, AIPG-CPG (Senior Consultant, Forge International Limited) between 12 and 17 August 2025. The visit included inspection of drill sites, trenches, coreshed facilities, and sample preparation areas. Drilling, core handling, sampling, density, QA/QC, and data management procedures were reviewed.</p> <p>Field observations confirmed that operational and sampling procedures were appropriate for the stage of exploration. Minor positional discrepancies were noted in handheld GPS collar locations, but these were resolved by re-surveying of the collar positions using differential GPS. The Competent Person considers the exploration data to be of acceptable quality for use in Mineral Resource estimation.</p>
<p>Geological interpretation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of ) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</i></li> <li>• <i>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>MB01-S and MB01-N</b></p> <p>The geological interpretation of the MB01 deposits is based on drilling and trenching data completed across both MB01-S and MB01-N, providing a moderate level of confidence in the geometry and continuity of mineralisation consistent with Inferred Mineral Resource classification.</p> <p>At MB01-S, drilling and trenching on approximately 100 m spaced sections define steeply dipping brecciated zones dipping approximately 80° east, interpreted as the principal controls on gold mineralisation. Geological continuity is supported by soil geochemistry, assay datasets, and variography, which indicates structurally controlled mineralisation with relatively low anisotropy.</p> <p>At MB01-N, interpretation is supported by a combination of trenching and more recent diamond drilling, which has refined the understanding of the mineralised system. Mineralisation is structurally controlled, with a dominant north-northeast (~015°) strike and steep (~70°) east-northeast dip, consistent with regional shear zones. Higher-grade shoots are developed within a broader mineralised envelope.</p> <p>For both deposits, implicit 3D wireframe models were generated in Leapfrog using Radial Basis Function (RBF) interpolation. At MB01-S, a 0.30 g/t Au cut-off was applied to define the mineralised envelope. At</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>MB01-N, a dual-threshold approach was adopted, using 0.1 g/t Au to define the broader mineralised halo and 0.3 g/t Au to constrain the principal mineralised domain.</p> <p>Statistical analysis at both deposits indicates a largely continuous grade population with no strong evidence for multiple mineralising events. Mineralisation is interpreted to have formed within a single hydrothermal system, with gold deposition controlled by structural deformation, brecciation, and host rock permeability.</p> <p>Continuity at MB01-S is considered good along strike and down dip, with consistent cross-vein continuity. At MB01-N, continuity is moderate, with drilling confirming the presence of discrete higher-grade shoots within a broader mineralised zone. Continuity is lower than initially interpreted from trenching alone, reflecting improved geological understanding following drilling.</p> <p>A potential high-grade sub-population may exist within both deposits, reflecting localised structural controls. However, data are currently insufficient to isolate these zones with confidence, and additional drilling is recommended.</p> <p>Overall, the geological interpretation for both MB01-S and MB01-N is considered reasonable and appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation, with both deposits remaining open in all directions.</p>
<p><i>Dimensions</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>MB01-S and MB01-N</b></p> <p>The MB01-S mineralised zone extends approximately 900m along strike (north–south) and approximately 700 m across strike, dipping at about 80° to the east. Mineralisation extends from surface and is constrained within a Lerch–Grossman optimised pit shell based on a gold price of US\$3,200/oz, with a maximum depth of approximately 340m below surface.</p> <p>The MB01-N mineralised zone extends over a strike length of approximately 723m, with a maximum width of up to 125m and a vertical extent to approximately 270m below surface. Mineralisation is interpreted to extend from near surface and remains open along strike and at depth.</p> <p>Both deposits are characterised by steeply dipping, structurally controlled mineralised zones with widths ranging from narrow veins (~1m) to broader zones of several tens of metres associated with brecciation and stockwork veining.</p> <p>MB01-N is located approximately 700m northeast of MB01-S and represents a separate but geologically related deposit within the broader MB01 mineralised corridor.</p> <p>Both Mineral Resource estimates are constrained within Lerchs–Grossman optimised pit shells using a gold price of US\$3,200/oz, supporting reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Estimation and modelling techniques</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</i></li> <li>• <i>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.</i></li> <li>• <i>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i></li> <li>• <i>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i></li> <li>• <i>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i></li> <li>• <i>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</i></li> <li>• <i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i></li> <li>• <i>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i></li> <li>• <i>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>MB01-S and MB01-N</b></p> <p>Mineral Resource estimation for the MB01 deposits was completed using Leapfrog EDGE/Geo 2024 within hard-boundary mineralised domains defined by implicit wireframes.</p> <p>At MB01-S, grade estimation was undertaken using Ordinary Kriging (OK), with a comparison Inverse Distance Squared (ID<sup>2</sup>) model used for validation. Variogram models were developed and applied to guide interpolation. At MB01-N, grade estimation was undertaken using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW<sup>2</sup>), as data density was insufficient to support robust variogram modelling.</p> <p>All assay data for both deposits were composited to 1m intervals using length-weighted compositing.</p> <p>At MB01-S, grade capping was applied in the range 0–20ppm Au. At MB01-N, a top cut of 10ppm Au was applied to limit the influence of isolated high-grade outliers. These approaches are considered appropriate for the early-stage nature of the datasets and to reduce the risk of grade overestimation.</p> <p>Domaining for both deposits was controlled by geological interpretation. At MB01-S, a 0.3g/t Au cut-off was used to define mineralised domains. At MB01-N, a dual threshold approach was used, with 0.1g/t Au defining the mineralised halo and 0.3g/t Au defining the principal estimation domain.</p> <p>Interpolation at MB01-S was completed using multiple kriging passes with increasing search radii and strict sample constraints. At MB01-N, a two-pass IDW approach was applied, with search ellipsoids ranging approximately from 150 × 150 × 50m to 300 × 300 × 100m, oriented according to the dominant structural trend (~015° strike, ~70° dip). Sample limits and drillhole restrictions were applied to ensure appropriate spatial representation.</p> <p>Block modelling parameters differ slightly between deposits but are considered appropriate relative to data spacing. At MB01-S, parent block dimensions are 5 × 25 × 25m with sub-blocking to 1 × 5 × 5m. At MB01-N, parent block dimensions are 5 × 20 × 20m with sub-blocking to 1 × 4 × 4m. Models are unrotated.</p> <p>Density values at MB01-S were interpolated using IDW with a mean of approximately 2.7 t/m<sup>3</sup> based on measured data. Density assumptions at MB01-N are consistent with the broader project dataset.</p> <p>Both models were constrained within Lerchs–Grossman optimised pit shells generated using Datamine NPVS, incorporating assumptions for gold price (US\$3,200/oz), mining, processing, recovery, dilution, and slope parameters to satisfy reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.</p> <p>No by-products or deleterious elements were modelled, and correlations between gold and other variables were not considered material.</p> <p>Model validation for both deposits included statistical comparison of input composites and block estimates, visual inspection in plan and section, and swath plot analysis. At MB01-S, comparison</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>between OK and ID<sup>2</sup> estimates showed strong agreement. At MB01-N, validation confirmed reasonable grade continuity and no significant estimation bias.</p> <p>The estimation methodologies applied to both MB01-S and MB01-N are considered appropriate for the available data, geological understanding, and Inferred Resource classification, and are consistent with industry practice.</p>
Moisture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</li> </ul>	<p>All tonnages are reported as dry tonnages.</p>
Cut-off parameters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</li> </ul>	<p>The Mineral Resource is reported above a calculated marginal cut-off grade of 0.40 g/t Au for all domains.</p>
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.</li> </ul>	<p><b>MB01-S and MB01-N</b></p> <p>It is assumed that the MB01-S and MB01-N deposits would be amenable to extraction by conventional open pit mining methods, utilising a truck and shovel operation. This assumption is considered appropriate given the near-surface nature of the mineralisation, the geometry of the deposits, and their lateral and vertical extents.</p> <p>To satisfy the JORC Code (2012) requirement for demonstrating Reasonable Prospects for Eventual Economic Extraction (RPEEE), conceptual pit shell optimisations were undertaken for both deposits using Datamine NPVS software. The optimisation process defined conceptual open pit envelopes that constrain the Mineral Resources to material considered to have reasonable prospects for future economic extraction.</p> <p>The optimisation parameters were developed in consultation with Oriole Resources and are based on preliminary technical assessments, benchmarking against comparable projects in the region, and industry standard assumptions appropriate for early-stage Mineral Resource estimation.</p> <p>The key mining and economic assumptions applied are summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Gold price:</b> US\$3,200/oz</li> <li><b>Selling cost:</b> US\$5/oz</li> <li><b>Royalty (Cameroon):</b> 3%</li> <li><b>Payability:</b> 99.9%</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Ore mining cost:</b> US\$2/t</li> <li>• <b>Waste mining cost:</b> US\$2/t</li> <li>• <b>Processing cost (oxide and sulphide):</b> US\$25/t ore</li> <li>• <b>Processing recovery:</b> 85%</li> <li>• <b>General &amp; administrative (G&amp;A):</b> US\$3/t ore</li> <li>• <b>Mining dilution:</b> 5%</li> <li>• <b>Mining recovery:</b> 95%</li> <li>• <b>Overall slope angle:</b> 45°</li> </ul> <p>These parameters were applied consistently to generate Lerchs–Grossman optimised pit shells used to constrain the reported Mineral Resources.</p> <p>No detailed mine design, scheduling, or optimisation studies have been completed at this stage. As such, the mining assumptions are conceptual in nature and are considered appropriate for reporting Inferred Mineral Resources.</p> <p>Minimum mining widths and detailed selective mining unit assumptions have not been explicitly defined but are considered to be consistent with typical open pit mining practices and the applied block model dimensions.</p> <p>The assumptions regarding mining methods and parameters are not based on detailed engineering studies but are considered reasonable and sufficient to support the assessment of RPEEE at the current stage of the project.</p> <p>Both MB01-S and MB01-N deposits remain open in all directions, and further drilling and technical studies are expected to refine the mining assumptions and potentially improve project economics.</p>
<p><i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bottle roll cyanidation tests on near surface, oxidized material indicated good gold recovery. However, this zone is considered to be relatively shallow. Scouting mineralogical tests have, therefore, been undertaken on a single composite sample taken from the dominant fresh ore zone. The material selected was taken from core sample coarse rejects from hole MBDD002. This composite comprised 14 sub-samples taken at intervals of 1m and each comprising approximately 3kg per interval. The location of hole MBDD002 is indicated in Figure 16.</li> <li>• The selected zone is considered to be representative of the mineralisation in MB01-S.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The mineralogical tests included chemical and XRD analysis, bulk modal analysis and diagnostic leaching.</li> <li>• The gold speciation indicates that gold is mainly present as calaverite and petzite with the former dominating (92%). The gold species are strongly associated with pyrite and are fine grained with a significant proportion being less than 20 µm.</li> <li>• The diagnostic leach data indicates that 49.3% of the gold is cyanide soluble at a grind size P(80) of 75 µm. A further 5.1% was recovered by leaching with carbon added. A further 12.4% is recovered by HCl leaching. 30.4% by weight of the gold is recovered by highly oxidative nitric acid leaching. This is an indication of the amount of refractory gold locked in primary sulphides, in this case pyrite.</li> <li>• The diagnostic leach outcomes are very similar to Bibemi – i.e. virtually the same percentage of highly refractory component requiring oxidative techniques to achieve liberation and recovery. 'Double Refractoriness' results from the dominance of the telluride mineralogy and the close association and encapsulation of gold within pyrite.</li> <li>• Further metallurgical testwork is planned. This will include a preliminary examination of oxidative destruction of sulphides, fine grinding with more intensive cyanidation and hypochlorite pre-leaching of tellurium ahead of gold leaching.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Environmental factors or assumptions</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i></li> </ul>	<p>A local cemetery, a primary school, and the southern extent of the Ngaouyanga village are located within or immediately adjacent to the western edge of the current RPEE pit shell at MB01-S. Their location means they should be regarded as culturally and socially sensitive receptors. Their presence may require formal heritage and community consultation and could influence future project design, disturbance limits, and permitting outcomes.</p> <p>No environmental studies or formal reviews have been undertaken as part of the current Mineral Resource estimate. The Competent Person is not aware of any other environmental, historical, cultural, or archaeological sites of sensitivity within the Mbe project area. These matters will be addressed in greater detail through dedicated environmental, heritage, and social baseline assessments in subsequent project stages.</p>
<p><i>Bulk density</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>MB01-S and MB01-N</b></p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i></li> <li><i>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Bulk density measurements were determined on core samples collected from both mineralised and unmineralised intervals using the Archimedes water displacement method on a dry, wax-coated basis to account for natural porosity and fractures. The procedure was directly observed by Forge International during the August 2025 site visit and is considered appropriate for producing accurate and representative results.</p> <p>At MB01-S, density data within the mineralised wireframe exhibited a broadly log-normal distribution with a mean of approximately 2.7t/m<sup>3</sup>, consistent with the expected characteristics of the host metavolcanic and brecciated rocks. A small number of high-density outliers (&gt;3.75 t/m<sup>3</sup>) and low-density values were identified and excluded as non-representative. To maintain geological realism, a density capping range of 2.2–3.2 t/m<sup>3</sup> was applied prior to interpolation.</p> <p>At MB01-N, density data are considered consistent with the broader project dataset and comparable lithologies. No capping was applied to the density dataset, as the distribution of values was considered representative of in-situ rock conditions and did not exhibit significant outliers requiring treatment.</p> <p>Density values for both deposits were interpolated into the block models using an Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) approach with an appropriate search strategy, providing a reasonable representation of spatial variability while maintaining geological consistency.</p> <p>Validation included comparison of interpolated block densities with raw sample measurements and visual inspection in section and plan. The results were considered reasonable and consistent with geological expectations.</p> <p>The combined database contains a total of 9,694 density records, providing a robust dataset to support the Mineral Resource estimates.</p>
<p><i>Classification</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i></li> <li><i>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i></li> <li><i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the</i></li> </ul>	<p>The Mineral Resource has been classified as Inferred in accordance with the guidelines of the JORC Code (2012 Edition). Classification was based on the distribution and quality of the underlying data, the geological interpretation, and the geostatistical continuity of grade and geology.</p> <p>Variogram analysis and kriging performance parameters, including slope of regression and kriging efficiency, were reviewed to assess the confidence in spatial continuity and estimation reliability. The available drilling and trenching data provide reasonable support for the interpreted mineralised domains but are insufficient to define grade continuity at the level required for higher confidence categories.</p> <p>The Competent Person considers that the data density, quality, and continuity of both geological and grade information are adequate to imply, but not verify, geological and grade continuity. This meets the</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>deposit.</i></p>	<p>criteria for an Inferred Mineral Resource, as defined by the JORC Code, where “the quantity and grade (or quality) are estimated on the basis of limited geological evidence and sampling and are assumed but not verified.”</p> <p>The classification appropriately reflects the Competent Person’s view of the deposit and the level of confidence in the input data and modelling results.</p>
<p><i>Audits or reviews</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</i></li> </ul>	<p>No Resource reviews or audits have been completed.</p>
<p><i>Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i></li> <li><i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i></li> <li><i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i></li> </ul>	<p>The Mbe Mineral Resource is classified as Inferred.</p> <p>This classification reflects the Competent Person’s assessment of confidence in geological interpretation, sampling density, and estimation reliability. Classification was guided by drillhole and trench spacing, geological confidence, variogram continuity, and slope-of-regression performance during grade estimation.</p> <p>The Inferred classification is supported by the observed geological and grade continuity across the modelled mineralised domain but remains based on limited drilling data. Geological evidence is sufficient to imply, but not verify, continuity of grade and geometry within the mineralised envelope.</p> <p>The estimate is based on gold grades interpolated using Ordinary Kriging within hard-boundary mineralisation wireframes constrained by a Reasonable Prospects of Eventual Economic Extraction (RPEEE) pit shell, generated at a gold price of US\$3,200/oz and reported above a 0.40 g/t Au cut-off grade</p> <p>Validation procedures included comparison of block and composite statistics, visual inspection in plan and section, and swath plots. The block model shows good correlation with composite grades at both local and global scales, supporting the appropriateness of the estimation approach.</p>

Appendix 1

Figure 1. Sample collection status and results to date from the stream sediment sampling campaign over the Central Licence Package. Assay results have been received for the five Eastern CLP licences: Tenekou, Niambaram, Pokor, Mbe, and Ndom.





Figure 2. Priority 1 soil sampling grids across gold-mineralised drainage basins within the five Eastern CLP licences.



Soil samples were planned at a spacing of 400m\*200m, with the Pilot Area also including a higher-resolution 400m\*100m sampling grid over the core of the anomalism.

Figure 3. Results for Priority 1 soil sampling grids, highlighting the Tcholliré-Banyo Shear Zone (TBSZ) structural corridor and the 12.5km-long zone of anomalous gold identified at Mbe.




Figure 4. Results for Priority 1 soil sampling over the Mbe licence (Grid 1 and Grid 6), highlighting key grades and en-echelon anomalism extending over a 12.5km-long corridor.




Figure 5. Mbe anomaly geological mapping (1:15,000) and rock-chip samples from selected outcrops within the Mbe anomaly area, predominantly focused on quartz veins that are hosted within a felsic porphyry unit that outcrops over a 3km-long north-northeast trending corridor.




Figure 6. Main: Rock-chip sampling results to date within the main 3km-long gold-mineralised corridor at Mbe. Insert: Location of the best channel-chip sampling intervals from within artisanal pits over a 200m-long zone within the main corridor.




Figure 7. Location of the best channel-chip sampling intervals from within artisanal pits over a 200m-long zone within the main corridor.




Figure 8. Preliminary Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) image for Mbe, overlain with the main c. 3km-long gold-mineralised corridor and rock-chip sampling results.




Figure 9. MBTR001 (viewing towards the South) showing approximate location of sampling over intersecting shear and extensional quartz vein sets.




Figure 10. Photo taken across partial trench MBTR005 at Mbe, highlighting approximate location of channel-chip sampling across a central shear-parallel vein of massive silica and high-grade gold extending into the surrounding altered granitoid wall rock to the East. Viewing towards the north-northwest




Figure 11. Results from rock-chip sampling during BCM due-diligence review in December 2023.




Figure 12. Results from channel-chip sampling within artisanal mining pits at two sites within the main Mbe trend: MB01-N (left) and MB02-S (right)




Figure 13. Results from the 100mx25m and 100mx50m infill soil sampling campaigns at MB01 and across the wider ~12.5km gold-in-soil anomaly identified by the regional soil sampling programme, respectively. Note a series of datapoints have been filtered out over alluvial (not in-situ) material.




Figure 14. Map of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Infill trenching programme conducted at MB01






Figure 16. Best reported intersections from trenching at MB01-S (MBT006 – MBT009, MBT015 – MBT016). Intersections calculated using a 0.2 g/t Au cut-off grade and with no more than 6.00m internal dilution.




Figure 17. Plan for Phase 1 drilling at MB01-S with a selection of best results for each hole from fire assay analysis over gold-in-soil contours, and with the US\$3,200/oz pit shell.




Figure 18. Plan for Phase 1 drilling at MB01-S with a selection of best results for each hole from fire assay analysis over interpretive geology, and with the US\$3,200/oz pit shell.




Figure 19. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872482 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from holes MBDD001, MBDD002, MBDD007 to MBDD009. The fence line is located approximately 40m to the south of trench MBT008, the results for which are shown in red along the surface trace.




Figure 20. Detailed interpretative cross section for fence line N872482 at MB01-S, with assay data for MBDD001, MBDD002, MBDD007 to MBDD009, and MBT008, downhole lithologies along the drill traces, interpretive geology in the background and interpreted mineralised zones based on geological and assay data. The fence line is located approximately 40m to the south of trench MBT008, the results for which are shown in red along the surface trace.




Figure 21. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872682 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from holes MBDD003 to MBDD006 and MBDD020. The fence line is located approximately 30m to the north of trench MBT007, the results for which are shown in red along the surface trace.




Figure 22. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872582 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from holes MBDD010 to MBDD013. The fence line is located approximately 15m to the south of trench MBT0015, the results for which are shown in red along the surface trace.




Figure 23. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872782 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from holes MBDD014 to MBDD017 and MBDD019.




Figure 24. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872882 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from hole MBDD018 and planned hole location for PMBDD121 (note planned hole PMBDD212 was not drilled in Phase 1). See Figure 43 for an updated version including Phase 3 drilling results.




Figure 25. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872382 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from holes MBDD021 – MBDD023.




Figure 26. Interpretative cross section for fence line N872442 at MB01-S, with selected mineralised intervals from holes MBDD024




Figure 27. Plan view of mineralised domains block model for the MB01-S Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate and US\$3,200/oz pit shell.




Figure 28. Oblique view of informing data and mineral domains block model for the MB01-S Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate and US\$3,200/oz pit shell.




Figure 29. MB01-N drill plan showing selected best intersections from holes MBDD025 – MBDD039). All holes were drilled at 50° inclination and at the azimuths outlined in the legend. Drilling fence line IDs are in blue text. The data is overlain on gold-in-soil contours, and the 2025 Exploration Target outline is delineated.




Figure 30. Simplified cross section for fence line N874318 with results from MBDD025 and MBDD029 and interpreted mineralised zones.



Figure 31. Simplified cross section for fence line N874133, viewing N-S and showing the results of MBDD038 drilled towards the south, and where it intersects fence line N874091




Figure 32. Simplified cross section for fence line N874091 with summary results from MBDD026, MBDD032 and MBDD034, and results from MBDD038 (drilled towards the south and intersecting this fence line), and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 33. Simplified cross section for fence line N873992 with summary results from MBDD027, assays from MBDD039 and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 34. Simplified cross section for fence line N874419 with results from MBDD028 and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 35. Simplified cross section for fence line N874025 with results from MBDD030 and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 36. Simplified cross section for fence line N874285 with results from MBDD031 and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 37. Simplified cross section for fence line N873870 with summary results from MBDD033, assays from MBDD035, and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 38. Simplified cross section for fence line N873630 with assays from MBDD035 and interpreted mineralised zones.



Figure 39. Simplified cross section for fence line N873732 with assays from MBDD036 and interpreted mineralised zones.




Figure 40. MB01-N MRE block model and US\$3,200/oz pit shells. Black line represents the cross-section line of the following Figure 41.




Figure 41. Cross section approximately along drill fence line N8743992 at MB01-N (viewing approximately north) showing the assay results from both trenching and drilling, the US\$3,200/oz pit shell, and mineralised wireframe model.




Figure 42. Plan view of MB01-S showing the Phase 3 Step-out programme drilling progress, selected best results from MBDD040 to MBDD044, drill collars from Phase 1 drilling and gold mineralised wireframes for the 870koz Au MRE within a US\$3,200/oz pit shell.




Figure 43. Simplified cross section of fence line N874882 with results from Phase 3 holes MBDD041 and MBDD042, selected results from previously reported Phase 1 hole MBDD018, and interpreted mineralised zones. Previously reported results are also shown for trench MBT006, located approximately 30m to the north.




Figure 44. Simplified cross section of fence line N874982 with results for Phase 3 holes MBDD040 and interpreted mineralised zones.





Figure 45. Simplified cross section of fence line N872582 with newly reported results from MBDD043 and MBDD044 (noting the ~25m offset to the north), previously reported best results from MBDD010 to MBDD013 and trench line MBT015 are also presented. MBT015 is located approximately 15m to the north of MBDD010 to MBDD013, and 10m to the south of MBDD043 and MBDD044.



Appendix 2

Table 1. Calculated intersections for trenches MBT001 to MBT003 using a 0.20g/t Au cut-off grade and no more than 6.00m internal dilution. The most significant intersections are highlighted in bold.

Trench ID	From (m)	To (m)	Grade (g/t Au)	Intersection	
MBT001	198.00	200.00	0.24	2.00m at 0.24 g/t Au	
	204.00	206.00	0.32	2.00m at 0.32 g/t Au	
	224.00	226.00	0.27	2.00m at 0.27 g/t Au	
	280.00	282.00	0.26	2.00m at 0.26 g/t Au	
	314.00	320.00	0.34	6.00m at 0.34 g/t Au	
	326.00	328.00	0.26	2.00m at 0.26 g/t Au	
	338.00	340.00	0.22	2.00m at 0.22 g/t Au	
	348.00	352.00	0.79	4.00m at 0.79 g/t Au	
	<i>including</i>	<i>350.00</i>	<i>352.00</i>	<i>1.30</i>	<i>2.00m at 1.30 g/t Au</i>
		356.00	372.00	0.29	16.00m at 0.29 g/t Au*
	388.00	396.00	0.37	8.00m at 0.37 g/t Au	
	400.00	402.00	0.24	2.00m at 0.24 g/t Au	
	<b>414.00</b>	<b>464.00</b>	<b>1.11</b>	<b>50.00 at 1.11 g/t Au</b>	
<i>including</i>	<b>432.00</b>	<b>452.00</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>20.00 at 2.23 g/t Au</b>	
MBT002	340.00	342.00	0.29	2.00m at 0.29 g/t Au	
	504.00	510.00	0.20	6.00m at 0.20 g/t Au	
	530.00	538.00	0.53	8.00m at 0.53 g/t Au	
	<i>including</i>	<i>530.00</i>	<i>532.00</i>	<i>1.11</i>	<i>2.00m at 1.11 g/t Au</i>
		546.00	554.00	0.23	8.00m at 0.23 g/t Au
		558.00	560.00	0.23	2.00m at 0.23 g/t Au
		568.00	576.00	0.73	8.00m at 0.73 g/t Au
	<i>including</i>	<i>572.00</i>	<i>576.00</i>	<i>1.00</i>	<i>4.00m at 1.00 g/t Au</i>
		594.00	596.00	0.21	2.00m at 0.21 g/t Au
		<b>600.00</b>	<b>638.00</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>38.00m at 0.55 g/t Au*</b>
<i>including</i>	<i>612.00</i>	<i>614.00</i>	<i>0.65</i>	<i>2.00m at 1.16 g/t Au</i>	
<i>and</i>	<i>636.00</i>	<i>638.00</i>	<i>1.93</i>	<i>2.00m at 1.93 g/t Au</i>	
MBT003	56.00	58.00	0.65	2.00m at 0.65 g/t Au	
	118.00	120.00	0.24	2.00m at 0.24 g/t Au	
	188.00	194.00	0.36	6.00m at 0.36 g/t Au	
	216.00	218.00	0.47	2.00m at 0.47 g/t Au	
		<b>448.00</b>	<b>516.00</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>68.00m at 0.77 g/t Au*</b>
	<i>including</i>	<b>452.00</b>	<b>464.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>12.00m at 1.00 g/t Au</b>
	<i>and</i>	<i>468.00</i>	<i>470.00</i>	<i>1.68</i>	<i>2.00m at 1.68 g/t Au</i>
	<i>and</i>	<b>482.00</b>	<b>506.00</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>24.00m at 1.18 g/t Au</b>
		536.00	540.00	0.27	4.00m at 0.27g/t Au
		558.00	562.00	0.45	4.00m at 0.45 g/t Au
	670.00	672.00	0.22	2.00m at 0.22 g/t Au	

\* intervals with up to 6m internal dilution

Table 2. Calculated intersections for trenches MBT004 to MBT009 using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade and no more than 5.00m consecutive dilution or 35% total internal dilution. Intersections grading 1.00g/t Au or more are highlighted in bold.

Trench ID	From (m)	To (m)	Grade (Au g/t)	Intersection	
MBT004	136.00	137.00	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au	
	158.00	159.00	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au	
	184.00	185.00	0.45	1.00m at 0.45g/t Au	
	270.00	271.00	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au	
MBT005	133.00	134.00	0.49	1.00m at 0.49g/t Au	
	136.00	137.00	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au	
	139.00	140.00	0.30	1.00m at 0.30g/t Au	
	161.50	163.00	0.21	1.50m at 0.21g/t Au	
MBT006	<b>189.00</b>	<b>191.00</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.36g/t Au</b>	
	199.00	203.00	0.33	4.00m at 0.33g/t Au	
	205.00	213.00	0.39	8.00m at 0.39g/t Au	
	218.50	220.00	0.89	1.50m at 0.89g/t Au	
	225.00	226.00	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au	
	<b>254.00</b>	<b>255.00</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.43g/t Au</b>	
	259.00	264.00	0.97	5.00m at 0.97g/t Au	
	<i>including</i>	<b>262.00</b>	<b>263.00</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.30g/t Au</b>
		<b>279.00</b>	<b>280.00</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.19g/t Au</b>
		397.00	403.00	0.96	6.00m at 0.96g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>397.00</b>	<b>400.00</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.73g/t Au</b>	
	428.00	429.00	0.29	1.00m at 0.29g/t Au	
	437.00	438.00	0.47	1.00m at 0.47g/t Au	
	443.00	453.00	0.86	10.00m at 0.86g/t Au	
<i>including</i>	<b>443.00</b>	<b>449.00</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>6.00m at 1.13g/t Au</b>	
	561.00	563.00	0.22	2.00m at 0.22g/t Au	
MBT007	10.00	12.00	0.48	2.00m at 0.48g/t Au	
	14.00	16.00	0.26	2.00m at 0.26g/t Au	
	18.00	20.00	0.47	2.00m at 0.47g/t Au	
	22.00	24.00	0.43	2.00m at 0.43g/t Au	
	40.00	42.00	0.21	2.00m at 0.21g/t Au	
	52.00	54.00	0.27	2.00m at 0.27g/t Au	
	89.00	93.00	0.24	4.00m at 0.24g/t Au	
	103.00	119.00	0.78	16.00m at 0.78g/t Au	
	<i>including</i>	<b>111.00</b>	<b>119.00</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>8.00m at 1.10g/t Au</b>
		139.00	154.00	0.34	17.00m at 0.34g/t Au
		160.00	164.00	0.40	4.00m at 0.40g/t Au
		173.00	197.00	0.32	24.00m at 0.32g/t Au
	<i>including</i>	<b>186.00</b>	<b>188.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.09g/t Au</b>
		207.00	208.00	0.28	1.00m at 0.28g/t Au
		210.00	212.00	0.31	2.00m at 0.31g/t Au
		<b>218.00</b>	<b>250.00*</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>32.00m at 1.32g/t Au</b>
	<i>including</i>	<b>218.00</b>	<b>226.00</b>	<b>2.19</b>	<b>8.00m at 2.19g/t Au</b>
	<i>including</i>	<b>244.00</b>	<b>250.00</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>6.00m at 3.46g/t Au</b>
		258.00	294.00 <sup>+</sup>	0.80	36.00m at 0.80g/t Au
	<i>including</i>	<b>264.00</b>	<b>279.00</b>	<b>1.33</b>	<b>15.00m at 1.33g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>293.00</b>	<b>294.00</b>	<b>1.87</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.87g/t Au</b>	
	<b>301.00</b>	<b>352.00</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>51.00m at 1.02g/t Au</b>	
<i>including</i>	<b>305.00</b>	<b>307.00</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.09g/t Au</b>	
<i>including</i>	<b>318.00</b>	<b>336.00</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>18.00m at 1.66g/t Au</b>	
<i>including</i>	<b>346.00</b>	<b>350.00</b>	<b>2.75</b>	<b>4.00 at 2.75g/t Au</b>	
	363.00	376.00	0.70	13.00m at 0.70g/t Au	
<i>including</i>	<b>373.00</b>	<b>376.00</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.89g/t Au</b>	
	393.00	399.00	0.38	6.00m at 0.38g/t Au	
	404.00	405.00	0.27	1.00m at 0.27g/t Au	

	407.00	408.00	0.31	1.00m at 0.31g/t Au
	429.00	430.00	0.54	1.00m at 0.54g/t Au
	434.00	436.00	0.66	2.00m at 0.66g/t Au
	452.00	454.00	0.31	2.00m at 0.31g/t Au
	456.00	457.00	0.32	1.00mat 0.32g/t Au
	483.00	485.00	0.20	2.00m at 0.20g/t Au
	491.00	496.00	0.23	5.00m at 0.23g/t Au
	502.00	503.00	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
	505.00	506.00	0.29	1.00m at 0.29g/t Au
	508.00	511.00	0.30	3.00m at 0.30g/t Au
	523.00	524.00	0.28	1.00m at 0.28g/t Au
	535.00	539.00	0.45	4.00m at 0.45g/t Au
MBT008	18.00	38.00	0.31	21.00m at 0.31g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>34.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.01g/t Au</b>
	44.00	48.00	0.29	4.00m at 0.29g/t Au
	52.00	71.00**	0.80	19.00m at 0.80g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>58.00</b>	<b>70.00</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>12.00m at 1.12g/t Au</b>
	83.00	110.00#	0.71	27.00m at 0.71g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>87.00</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>9.00m at 1.01g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>106.00</b>	<b>108.00</b>	<b>2.83</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.83g/t Au</b>
	124.00	142.00	0.25	18.00m at 0.25g/t Au
	148.00	150.00	0.66	2.00m at 0.66g/t Au
	<b>154.00</b>	<b>162.00</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>8.00m at 3.46g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>158.00</b>	<b>160.00</b>	<b>12.60</b>	<b>2.00m at 12.60g/t Au</b>
	168.00	170.00	0.21	2.00m at 0.21g/t Au
	194.00	200.00	0.44	6.00m at 0.44g/t Au
	<b>212.00</b>	<b>259.75</b>	<b>47.75</b>	<b>47.75m at 1.23g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>227.00</b>	<b>255.00</b>	<b>1.90</b>	<b>28.00m at 1.90g/t Au</b>
	264.00	273.00	0.31	9.00m at 0.31g/t Au
	291.00	379.00	0.71	88.00m at 0.71g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>295.00</b>	<b>301.00</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>6.00m at 1.28g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>321.00</b>	<b>351.00</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>30.00m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
	384.00	368.40	0.22	2.40m at 0.22g/t Au
	393.00	394.00	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
	404.00	407.00	0.70	3.00m at 0.70g/t Au
	<b>410.00</b>	<b>414.00</b>	<b>1.65</b>	<b>4.00m at 1.65g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>411.00</b>	<b>412.00</b>	<b>5.38</b>	<b>1.00m at 5.38g/t Au</b>
	415.00	417.00	0.47	2.00m at 0.47g/t Au
	420.00	422.00	0.78	2.00m at 0.78g/t Au
	<b>444.00</b>	<b>447.00</b>	<b>1.88</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.88g/t Au</b>
	474.00	478.00	0.44	4.00m at 0.44g/t Au
	481.00	482.00	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
	511.00	512.00	0.53	1.00m at 0.53g/t Au
	530.00	532.00	0.25	2.00m at 0.25g/t Au
MBT009	<b>4.00</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>2.47</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.47g/t Au</b>
	12.00	15.00	0.60	3.00m at 0.60g/t Au
	38.00	40.00	0.30	2.00m at 0.30g/t Au
	44.00	45.00	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
	50.00	59.00	0.33	9.00m at 0.33g/t Au
	62.00	63.00	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
	<b>66.00</b>	<b>72.00</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>6.00m at 1.41g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>66.00</b>	<b>67.00</b>	<b>6.33</b>	<b>1.00m at 6.33g/t Au</b>
	80.00	83.00	0.32	3.00m at 0.32g/t Au
	102.00	103.00	0.43	1.00m at 0.43g/t Au
	<b>146.00</b>	<b>148.00</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.12g/t Au</b>
	150.00	151.00	0.35	1.00m at 0.35g/t Au
	154.00	155.00	0.38	1.00m at 0.38g/t Au
	163.00	165.00	0.51	2.00m at 0.51g/t Au

	192.00	193.00	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
	<b>283.00</b>	<b>283.00</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.32g/t Au</b>
	289.00	306.00	0.26	17.00m at 0.26g/t Au
	334.00	362.00	0.36	28.00m at 0.36g/t Au
	409.00	427.00	0.39	18.00m at 0.39g/t Au
	465.00	471.00	0.48	6.00m at 0.48g/t Au

\*,\*\* intersections followed by 8m and 12m (respectively) of no sampling due to material lost by artisanal workings

+ intersection followed by an ~7m road causing a break in sampling

# intersection includes 2m lost to artisanal workings, assumed to have no grade for the intersection calculation.

**Table 3. Calculated intersections for re-assayed samples from MBT004, and trenches MBT010 to MBT016 using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade and no more than 5.00m consecutive dilution or 35% total internal dilution. Significant intersections ( $\geq 1\text{g/t Au}$ ) are highlighted in bold.**

Trench ID	From (m)	To (m)	Grade (g/t Au)	Intersection
<b>Phase 1 re-assay</b>				
MBT004	<b>216.00</b>	<b>217.00</b>	<b>1.33</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.33g/t Au</b>
	219.00	220.00	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
	<b>223.00</b>	<b>227.35</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>4.35m at 2.00g/t Au</b>
	244.00	250.00	0.24	6.00m at 0.24g/t Au
<b>Phase 2 infill</b>				
MBT010	<b>62.00</b>	<b>64.00</b>	<b>5.34</b>	<b>2.00m at 5.34g/t Au</b>
	<b>78.00</b>	<b>82.00</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>4.00m at 0.29g/t Au</b>
	90.00	92.00	0.53	2.00m at 0.53g/t Au
	114.00	123.00	0.28	9.00m at 0.28g/t Au
	131.00	135.00	0.26	4.00m at 0.26g/t Au
MBT011	<b>No significant intersections</b>			
MBT012	21.00	30.00	0.46	9.00m at 0.46g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>21.00</b>	<b>22.00</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.94g/t Au</b>
	83.00	205.00	0.34	122.00m at 0.34g/t Au*
<i>including</i>	<b>92.00</b>	<b>94.00</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.17g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>140.00</b>	<b>146.00</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>6.00m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>171.00</b>	<b>173.00</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>192.00</b>	<b>194.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.00g/t Au</b>
MBT013	71.00	73.00	0.21	2.00m at 0.21g/t Au
	86.50	88.00	0.30	1.50m at 0.30g/t Au
	171.00	177.00	0.47	6.00m at 0.47g/t Au
	195.00	196.00	0.41	1.00m at 0.41g/t Au
	236.50	238.00	0.24	1.50m at 0.24g/t Au
MBT014	112.00	115.00	0.88	3.00m at 0.88g/t Au
	265.00	267.00	0.34	2.00m at 0.34g/t Au
MBT015**	0.00	7.00	0.21	7.00m at 0.21g/t Au
	13.00	16.00	0.95	3.00m at 0.95g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>14.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	<b>2.13</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.13g/t Au</b>
	29.00	30.40	0.24	1.40m at 0.24g/t Au
	42.00	44.00	0.20	2.00m at 0.20g/t Au
	48.45	53.00	0.20	4.55m at 0.20g/t Au
	84.00	87.00	0.22	3.00m at 0.22g/t Au

	164.00	166.00	0.44	2.00m at 0.44g/t Au
	179.00	258.00	0.43	79.00m at 0.43g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>209.00</b>	<b>211.00</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.14g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>231.00</b>	<b>232.00</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.05g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>250.00</b>	<b>251.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.00g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>254.00</b>	<b>256.00</b>	<b>1.60</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.60g/t Au</b>
	264.00	281.00	0.33	17.00m at 0.33g/t Au
MBT016	0.00	2.00	0.20	2.00m at 0.20g/t Au
	6.00	9.00	0.25	3.00m at 0.25g/t Au
	<b>28.00</b>	<b>29.40</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>1.40m at 1.20g/t Au</b>
	<b>46.00</b>	<b>47.00</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.47g/t Au</b>
	82.00	84.00	0.21	2.00m at 0.21g/t Au
	134.00	135.00	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
	136.00	137.00	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au

\*No samples taken between 86.00 and 88.30m due to removal of material by artisanal miners; a value of 0g/t Au has been assumed for the purpose of the interval but the removed material is likely to have been mineralised.

\*\*Artisanal excavations in this trench led to significant gaps in sampling as follows: 94-164m, 169-172m and 174-179m.

Appendix 3

**Table1. MB01-S drill collar table with co-ordinates, reduced level (RL), and final hole depths obtained by a DGPS survey**

Hole ID	Hole Type	Max Depth (m)	Grid	Easting	Northing	RL (m)
MBDD001	DDH	358.00	WGS84_33N	346450.7	872483.5	620.13
MBDD002	DDH	309.00	WGS84_33N	346710	872493.1	614.60
MBDD003	DDH	408.50	WGS84_33N	346457.3	872672.1	607.51
MBDD004	DDH	320.50	WGS84_33N	346770.2	872685.8	602.67
MBDD005	DDH	325.60	WGS84_33N	346688.3	872683	605.61
MBDD006	DDH	283.50	WGS84_33N	346611.1	872682.4	607.78
MBDD007	DDH	322.00	WGS84_33N	346791.1	872484.3	609.98
MBDD008	DDH	340.20	WGS84_33N	346633.3	872481.8	619.03
MBDD009	DDH	200.00	WGS84_33N	346470.2	872487.2	618.84
MBDD010	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346742.6	872588	608.83
MBDD011	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346658.8	872587.8	612.17
MBDD012	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346582.5	872585.4	613.94
MBDD013	DDH	205.50	WGS84_33N	346500.6	872585.8	611.40
MBDD014	DDH	345.70	WGS84_33N	346795.1	872788.3	599.10
MBDD015	DDH	302.00	WGS84_33N	346719.8	872792.1	600.24
MBDD016	DDH	239.50	WGS84_33N	346554.1	872809.7	602.18
MBDD017	DDH	302.00	WGS84_33N	346636.2	872794.7	600.84
MBDD018	DDH	305.00	WGS84_33N	346588.1	872891.6	606.25
MBDD019	DDH	305.50	WGS84_33N	346498.2	872788.5	605.08
MBDD020	DDH	261.00	WGS84_33N	346479	872679.3	607.32
MBDD021	DDH	244.90	WGS84_33N	346695.1	872386.6	619.47
MBDD022	DDH	200.00	WGS84_33N	346615.3	872383.9	622.10
MBDD023	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346497.3	872382.4	624.62
MBDD024	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346337.1	872438.7	625.36

**Table 2. Calculated intersections from Phase 1 holes MBDD001 and MBDD004 for Au by photon assay, using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade. Results greater than 1 g/t Au are in bold.**

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Grade (g/t Au)	Intersection*
<b>MBDD001</b>	<b>80.40</b>	<b>82.40</b>	<b>3.23</b>	<b>2.00m at 3.23g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>108.00</b>	<b>109.00</b>	<b>3.57</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.57g/t Au</b>
and	115.60	116.60	0.98	1.00m at 0.98g/t Au
and	138.60	140.75	0.97	2.15m at 0.97g/t Au
and	152.25	153.25	0.28	1.00m at 0.28g/t Au
and	163.25	164.25	0.35	1.00m at 0.35g/t Au
and	182.85	183.85	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	206.70	212.70	0.37	6.00m at 0.37g/t Au
and	222.60	235.00	0.42	12.40m at 0.42g/t Au
and	244.25	245.25	0.99	1.00m at 0.99g/t Au
and	270.00	271.00	0.82	1.00m at 0.82g/t Au
and	275.60	282.10	0.39	6.50m at 0.39g/t Au
and	306.85	308.05	0.25	1.20m at 0.25g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>310.25</b>	<b>312.20</b>	<b>1.82</b>	<b>1.95m at 1.82g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>311.20</b>	<b>312.20</b>	<b>3.18</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.18g/t Au</b>

and	327.25	328.45	0.25	1.20m at 0.25g/t Au
and	331.60	332.60	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	344.45	346.80	0.28	2.35m at 0.28g/t Au
MBDD002	5.90	6.90	0.48	1.00m at 0.48g/t Au
and	15.90	18.70	0.84	2.80m at 0.84g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>15.90</b>	<b>16.90</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.47g/t Au</b>
and	30.55	31.95	0.51	1.40m at 0.51g/t Au
and	42.55	43.65	0.43	1.10m at 0.43g/t Au
and	44.95	46.05	0.31	1.10m at 0.31g/t Au
and	48.75	52.20	0.66	3.45m at 0.66g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>49.95</b>	<b>51.10</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>1.15m at 1.01g/t Au</b>
and	64.75	67.20	0.35	2.45m at 0.35g/t Au
and	70.80	71.90	0.56	1.10m at 0.56g/t Au
and	75.90	77.00	0.29	1.10m at 0.29g/t Au
and	81.30	85.90	0.73	4.60m at 0.73g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>83.10</b>	<b>84.90</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>1.80m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
and	94.45	124.20	0.82	29.75m at 0.82g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>96.20</b>	<b>113.50</b>	<b>2.81</b>	<b>17.30m at 1.09g/t Au</b>
and	133.50	159.80	0.62	26.30m at 0.62g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>138.50</b>	<b>139.50</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.07g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>141.50</b>	<b>152.40</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>10.90m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>156.80</b>	<b>157.80</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.38g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>165.90</b>	<b>172.70</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>6.80m at 1.06g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>168.75</b>	<b>170.70</b>	<b>2.10</b>	<b>1.95m at 2.10g/t Au</b>
and	188.25	190.55	0.35	2.30m at 0.35g/t Au
and	196.60	197.60	0.57	1.00m at 0.57g/t Au
MBDD003	20.85	22.85	0.46	2.00m at 0.46g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>49.95</b>	<b>51.65</b>	<b>6.11</b>	<b>1.70m at 6.11g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>55.60</b>	<b>63.60</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>8.00m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>55.60</b>	<b>57.80</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>2.20m at 2.34g/t Au</b>
and	68.30	82.60	0.86	14.30m at 0.86g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>69.40</b>	<b>71.70</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>2.30m at 2.09g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>79.25</b>	<b>82.60</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>3.35m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
and	96.40	99.80	0.55	3.40m at 0.55g/t Au
and	115.50	116.50	0.80	1.00m at 0.80g/t Au
and	127.90	138.05	0.58	10.15m at 0.58g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>133.75</b>	<b>138.05</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>4.30m at 1.05g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>146.40</b>	<b>150.64</b>	<b>7.70</b>	<b>4.24m at 7.70g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>146.40</b>	<b>148.12</b>	<b>18.00</b>	<b>1.72m at 18.00g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>183.86</b>	<b>186.18</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>2.32m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
and	195.98	199.10	0.49	3.12m at 0.49g/t Au
and	225.25	227.35	0.23	2.10m at 0.23g/t Au
and	230.60	231.80	0.24	1.20m at 0.24g/t Au
and	254.40	255.40	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au
and	321.10	322.10	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	333.50	334.50	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	337.90	338.90	0.69	1.00m at 0.69g/t Au
and	353.60	354.60	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au

and	372.30	373.30	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	377.30	379.50	0.30	2.20m at 0.30g/t Au
and	381.90	382.90	0.34	1.00m at 0.34g/t Au
<b>MBDD004</b>	<b>3.25</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.20g/t Au</b>
and	59.90	62.75	0.63	2.85m at 0.63g/t Au
and	101.10	103.70	0.40	2.60m at 0.40g/t Au
and	111.90	113.20	0.36	1.30m at 0.36g/t Au
and	119.00	123.60	0.53	4.60m at 0.53g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>119.00</b>	<b>120.20</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>1.20m at 1.38g/t Au</b>
and	143.60	146.60	0.99	3.00m at 0.99g/t Au
and	186.10	187.10	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	201.90	205.00	0.33	3.10m at 0.33g/t Au
and	216.50	217.50	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>252.60</b>	<b>253.60</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.00g/t Au</b>
and	267.40	268.40	0.76	1.00m at 0.76g/t Au
and	273.40	274.40	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	276.50	277.50	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au

\* Intervals greater than 1.00m, calculated using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade and no more than 5.00m consecutive dilution or 35% total internal dilution. True widths not currently known.

Table 3. Calculated intersections from Phase 1 drilling at MB01-S (MBDD001 to MBDD0024) for Au from fire assay, using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade. Results greater than 1 g/t Au are in bold.

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Grade (Au g/t)	Intersection*
MBDD001	80.40	82.40	3.13	2.00m at 3.13g/t Au
and	108.00	109.00	3.53	1.00m at 3.53g/t Au
and	115.60	116.60	1.00	1.00m at 1.00g/t Au
and	138.60	140.75	0.96	2.15m at 0.96g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>138.60</b>	<b>139.60</b>	<b>1.65</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.65g/t Au</b>
and	152.25	153.25	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
and	163.25	164.25	0.37	1.00m at 0.37g/t Au
and	182.85	183.85	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	206.70	212.70	0.36	6.00m at 0.36g/t Au
and	222.60	235.00	0.41	12.40m at 0.41g/t Au
and	244.25	245.25	0.97	1.00m at 0.97g/t Au
and	270.00	271.00	0.90	1.00m at 0.90g/t Au
and	275.60	282.10	0.44	6.50m at 0.44g/t Au
and	306.85	308.05	0.27	1.20m at 0.27g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>310.25</b>	<b>312.20</b>	<b>1.79</b>	<b>1.95m at 1.79g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>311.20</b>	<b>312.20</b>	<b>3.13</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.13g/t Au</b>
and	327.25	328.45	0.25	1.20m at 0.25g/t Au
and	344.45	346.80	0.27	2.35m at 0.27g/t Au
MBDD002	5.90	6.90	0.53	1.00m at 0.53g/t Au
and	15.90	18.70	0.86	2.80m at 0.86g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>15.90</b>	<b>16.90</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.47g/t Au</b>
and	30.55	31.95	0.51	1.40m at 0.51g/t Au
and	42.55	43.65	0.42	1.10m at 0.42g/t Au
and	44.95	46.05	0.29	1.10m at 0.29g/t Au

and	48.75	52.20	0.67	3.45m at 0.67g/t Au
and	62.75	67.20	0.28	4.45m at 0.28g/t Au
and	70.80	71.90	0.56	1.10m at 0.56g/t Au
and	75.90	77.00	0.33	1.10m at 0.33g/t Au
and	81.30	86.90	0.65	5.60m at 0.65g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>83.10</b>	<b>84.90</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>1.80m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
and	94.45	124.20	0.88	29.75m at 0.88g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>96.20</b>	<b>113.50</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>17.30m at 1.35g/t Au</b>
and	133.50	159.80	0.65	26.30m at 0.65g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>138.50</b>	<b>139.50</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>141.50</b>	<b>152.40</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>10.90m at 1.08g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>156.80</b>	<b>157.80</b>	<b>1.62</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.62g/t Au</b>
and	165.90	172.70	1.09	6.80m at 1.09g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>168.75</b>	<b>170.70</b>	<b>2.17</b>	<b>1.95m at 2.17g/t Au</b>
and	188.25	190.55	0.34	2.30m at 0.34g/t Au
and	196.60	197.60	0.49	1.00m at 0.49g/t Au
MBDD003	21.85	22.85	0.72	1.00m at 0.72g/t Au
and	49.95	51.65	6.19	1.70m at 6.19g/t Au
and	55.60	63.60	1.00	8.00m at 1.00g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>55.60</b>	<b>57.80</b>	<b>2.25</b>	<b>2.20m at 2.25g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>62.50</b>	<b>63.60</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.47g/t Au</b>
and	68.30	82.60	0.87	14.30m at 0.87g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>69.40</b>	<b>71.70</b>	<b>2.02</b>	<b>2.30m at 2.02g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>77.15</b>	<b>78.15</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.15g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>79.25</b>	<b>82.60</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>3.35m at 1.09g/t Au</b>
and	96.40	99.80	0.62	3.40m at 0.62g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>97.60</b>	<b>98.80</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>1.20m at 1.17g/t Au</b>
and	115.50	116.50	0.82	1.00m at 0.82g/t Au
and	127.90	138.05	0.56	10.15m at 0.56g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>137.05</b>	<b>138.05</b>	<b>2.15</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.15g/t Au</b>
and	146.40	150.64	8.12	4.24m at 8.12g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>146.40</b>	<b>148.12</b>	<b>19.08</b>	<b>1.72m at 19.08g/t Au</b>
and	183.86	186.18	1.04	2.32m at 1.04g/t Au
and	195.98	199.10	0.52	3.12m at 0.52g/t Au
and	225.25	227.35	0.22	2.10m at 0.22g/t Au
and	230.60	231.80	0.25	1.20m at 0.25g/t Au
and	254.40	255.40	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	303.20	304.20	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	321.10	322.10	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	333.50	334.50	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	337.90	338.90	0.69	1.00m at 0.69g/t Au
and	353.60	354.60	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
and	372.30	373.30	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	377.30	379.50	0.33	2.20m at 0.33g/t Au
and	381.90	382.90	0.32	1.00m at 0.32g/t Au

<b>MBDD004</b>	<b>3.25</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.15g/t Au</b>
and	59.90	62.75	0.61	2.85m at 0.61g/t Au
and	101.10	104.70	0.35	3.60m at 0.35g/t Au
and	111.90	113.20	0.34	1.30m at 0.34g/t Au
and	119.00	123.60	0.54	4.60m at 0.54g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>119.00</b>	<b>120.20</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>1.20m at 1.46g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>143.60</b>	<b>146.60</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.01g/t Au</b>
and	186.10	187.10	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
and	201.90	205.00	0.34	3.10m at 0.34g/t Au
and	216.50	217.50	0.29	1.00m at 0.29g/t Au
and	252.60	253.60	0.99	1.00m at 0.99g/t Au
and	267.40	268.40	0.82	1.00m at 0.82g/t Au
and	276.50	277.50	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
<b>MBDD005</b>	<b>7.50</b>	<b>13.10</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>5.60m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
and	36.40	43.20	0.53	6.80m at 0.53g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>38.30</b>	<b>39.30</b>	<b>1.87</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.87g/t Au</b>
and	53.40	54.40	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	59.50	61.60	0.30	2.10m at 0.30g/t Au
and	63.90	66.10	0.31	2.20m at 0.31g/t Au
and	69.10	72.10	0.38	3.00m at 0.38g/t Au
and	76.00	99.10	0.71	23.10m at 0.71g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>84.90</b>	<b>92.10</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>7.20m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>97.10</b>	<b>99.10</b>	<b>2.48</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.48g/t Au</b>
and	110.60	115.50	0.42	4.90m at 0.42g/t Au
and	127.20	128.30	0.31	1.10m at 0.31g/t Au
and	140.30	142.20	0.34	1.90m at 0.34g/t Au
and	144.80	149.00	0.43	4.20m at 0.43g/t Au
and	151.00	152.00	0.65	1.00m at 0.65g/t Au
and	171.15	172.90	0.39	1.75m at 0.39g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>324.30</b>	<b>325.60</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>1.30m at 1.04g/t Au</b>
MBDD006	4.70	5.80	0.29	1.10m at 0.29g/t Au
and	8.30	15.60	0.51	7.30m at 0.51g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>10.90</b>	<b>12.80</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>1.90m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
and	19.40	21.60	0.39	2.20m at 0.39g/t Au
and	32.50	36.70	0.42	4.20m at 0.42g/t Au
and	41.00	42.00	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
and	60.90	64.10	0.44	3.20m at 0.44g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>60.90</b>	<b>61.90</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.12g/t Au</b>
and	69.50	72.50	0.68	3.00m at 0.68g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>71.50</b>	<b>72.50</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.64g/t Au</b>
and	76.40	79.90	0.24	3.50m at 0.24g/t Au
and	81.90	82.90	0.28	1.00m at 0.28g/t Au
and	103.10	116.90	0.61	13.80m at 0.61g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>107.40</b>	<b>110.80</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>3.40m at 1.17g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>114.80</b>	<b>116.90</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>2.10m at 1.06g/t Au</b>

and	131.40	132.50	0.28	1.10m at 0.28g/t Au
and	138.50	139.60	0.33	1.10m at 0.33g/t Au
and	198.70	199.80	0.80	1.10m at 0.80g/t Au
and	225.00	226.00	0.30	1.00m at 0.30g/t Au
and	233.10	234.20	0.52	1.10m at 0.52g/t Au
and	236.00	237.00	0.36	1.00m at 0.36g/t Au
MBDD007	21.90	22.90	0.31	1.00m at 0.31g/t Au
and	24.90	27.85	0.32	2.95m at 0.32g/t Au
and	61.00	62.05	0.27	1.05m at 0.27g/t Au
and	65.10	69.10	0.38	4.00m at 0.38g/t Au
and	72.10	73.10	0.57	1.00m at 0.57g/t Au
and	80.50	89.90	0.56	9.40m at 0.56g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>85.10</b>	<b>88.30</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>3.20m at 1.09g/t Au</b>
and	106.40	110.55	0.57	4.15m at 0.57g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>107.40</b>	<b>108.40</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.32g/t Au</b>
and	127.40	128.40	0.73	1.00m at 0.73g/t Au
and	148.40	149.40	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au
and	153.50	160.20	0.30	6.70m at 0.30g/t Au
and	166.10	168.10	0.37	2.00m at 0.37g/t Au
and	177.85	178.90	0.44	1.05m at 0.44g/t Au
and	182.10	183.10	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	194.30	218.50	0.45	24.20m at 0.45g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>215.50</b>	<b>218.50</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.27g/t Au</b>
and	221.50	222.70	0.88	1.20m at 0.88g/t Au
and	233.60	235.60	0.24	2.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	240.90	241.90	0.60	1.00m at 0.60g/t Au
and	256.50	257.50	0.62	1.00m at 0.62g/t Au
MBDD008	3.90	5.10	0.25	1.20m at 0.25g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>8.20</b>	<b>16.70</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>8.50m at 2.25g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>11.20</b>	<b>12.20</b>	<b>17.00</b>	<b>1.00m at 17.00g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>22.00</b>	<b>108.50</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>86.50m at 1.36g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>62.40</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>39.40m at 2.00g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>91.50</b>	<b>97.50</b>	<b>2.24</b>	<b>6.00m at 2.24g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>104.50</b>	<b>107.50</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>3.00m at 2.32g/t Au</b>
and	114.50	120.50	0.56	6.00m at 0.56g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>115.50</b>	<b>117.50</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.10g/t Au</b>
and	134.80	136.90	0.34	2.10m at 0.34g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>140.30</b>	<b>141.40</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.34g/t Au</b>
and	169.40	170.40	0.45	1.00m at 0.45g/t Au
and	210.90	212.20	0.56	1.30m at 0.56g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>230.50</b>	<b>231.50</b>	<b>3.62</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.62g/t Au</b>
and	239.80	242.00	0.32	2.20m at 0.32g/t Au
and	251.30	254.40	0.29	3.10m at 0.29g/t Au
and	256.40	258.40	0.59	2.00m at 0.59g/t Au
and	327.05	328.05	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au

MBDD009	9.70	10.70	0.56	1.00m at 0.56g/t Au
and	24.15	25.30	0.54	1.15m at 0.54g/t Au
and	66.60	77.80	0.50	11.20m at 0.50g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>69.50</b>	<b>71.10</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>1.60m at 1.04g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>73.80</b>	<b>75.80</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.07g/t Au</b>
and	99.35	100.60	0.33	1.25m at 0.33g/t Au
and	112.40	116.80	0.32	4.40m at 0.32g/t Au
and	119.00	120.10	2.99	1.10m at 2.99g/t Au
and	122.50	125.00	0.49	2.50m at 0.49g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>124.00</b>	<b>125.00</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.06g/t Au</b>
and	130.00	133.15	0.48	3.15m at 0.48g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>147.10</b>	<b>149.10</b>	<b>25.77</b>	<b>2.00m at 25.77g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>148.10</b>	<b>149.10</b>	<b>51.30</b>	<b>1.00m at 51.30g/t Au</b>
and	161.30	162.45	0.22	1.15m at 0.22g/t Au
and	168.25	169.30	0.27	1.05m at 0.27g/t Au
and	193.40	194.50	0.41	1.10m at 0.41g/t Au
MBDD010	10.00	18.90	0.47	8.90m at 0.47g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>14.30</b>	<b>15.50</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.20m at 1.05g/t Au</b>
and	59.80	60.80	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au
and	69.00	70.00	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	74.10	77.20	0.80	3.10m at 0.80g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>74.10</b>	<b>75.20</b>	<b>1.85</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.85g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>80.40</b>	<b>85.40</b>	<b>1.86</b>	<b>5.00m at 1.86g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>80.40</b>	<b>83.40</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>3.00m at 2.88g/t Au</b>
and	87.40	93.40	0.25	6.00m at 0.25g/t Au
and	101.50	102.50	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	117.20	130.30	0.62	13.10m at 0.62g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>121.30</b>	<b>122.30</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>127.30</b>	<b>129.30</b>	<b>1.86</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.86g/t Au</b>
and	133.30	134.30	0.25	1.00m at 0.25g/t Au
and	137.30	138.40	0.24	1.10m at 0.24g/t Au
and	141.40	143.60	0.83	2.20m at 0.83g/t Au
and	146.80	150.20	0.65	3.40m at 0.65g/t Au
and	154.50	162.90	0.61	8.40m at 0.61g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>155.50</b>	<b>158.60</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>3.10m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>161.90</b>	<b>162.90</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.32g/t Au</b>
and	167.30	171.30	0.52	4.00m at 0.52g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>170.30</b>	<b>171.30</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.06g/t Au</b>
and	<b>177.40</b>	<b>179.40</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.19g/t Au</b>
and	184.70	185.70	0.33	1.00m at 0.33g/t Au
and	217.50	218.50	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	233.10	234.20	0.33	1.10m at 0.33g/t Au
MBDD011	3.60	20.90	0.39	17.30m at 0.39g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>5.50</b>	<b>6.50</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.06g/t Au</b>

and	27.70	30.70	0.62	3.00m at 0.62g/t Au
and	36.00	38.00	0.29	2.00m at 0.29g/t Au
and	50.00	55.10	0.76	5.10m at 0.76g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>53.10</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>3.10m at 1.12g/t Au</b>
and	63.70	64.70	0.39	1.00m at 0.39g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>68.40</b>	<b>69.40</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.42g/t Au</b>
and	73.70	74.70	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	78.60	80.50	0.63	1.90m at 0.63g/t Au
and	83.50	85.50	0.37	2.00m at 0.37g/t Au
and	92.80	104.30	0.53	11.50m at 0.53g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>94.80</b>	<b>96.90</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>2.10m at 1.27g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>100.10</b>	<b>101.20</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.32g/t Au</b>
and	110.50	114.90	0.64	4.40m at 0.64g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>110.50</b>	<b>111.60</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>118.00</b>	<b>119.00</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.53g/t Au</b>
and	121.90	122.90	0.27	1.00m at 0.27g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>124.90</b>	<b>129.20</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>4.30m at 1.63g/t Au</b>
and	133.50	134.60	0.38	1.10m at 0.38g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>136.80</b>	<b>137.90</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>1.10m at 2.03g/t Au</b>
and	141.20	142.30	0.98	1.10m at 0.98g/t Au
and	144.30	145.30	0.73	1.00m at 0.73g/t Au
and	157.40	159.65	0.52	2.25m at 0.52g/t Au
and	162.00	163.10	0.46	1.10m at 0.46g/t Au
and	178.00	183.20	0.34	5.20m at 0.34g/t Au
and	189.20	192.20	0.32	3.00m at 0.32g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>196.10</b>	<b>201.10</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>5.00m at 1.50g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>199.10</b>	<b>201.10</b>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.80g/t Au</b>
and	208.60	209.60	0.53	1.00m at 0.53g/t Au
<b>MBDD012</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>23.70</b>	<b>1.61</b>	<b>21.30m at 1.61g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>13.40</b>	<b>2.04</b>	<b>11.00m at 2.04g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>17.70</b>	<b>21.70</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>4.00m at 2.53g/t Au</b>
and	26.80	27.95	0.23	1.15m at 0.23g/t Au
and	31.35	32.50	0.29	1.15m at 0.29g/t Au
and	38.50	43.40	0.38	4.90m at 0.38g/t Au
and	56.30	57.50	0.89	1.20m at 0.89g/t Au
and	62.10	63.20	0.61	1.10m at 0.61g/t Au
and	73.10	74.20	0.56	1.10m at 0.56g/t Au
and	76.30	77.30	0.63	1.00m at 0.63g/t Au
and	79.40	82.50	0.36	3.10m at 0.36g/t Au
and	86.50	89.70	0.52	3.20m at 0.52g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>88.60</b>	<b>89.70</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.20g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>98.50</b>	<b>101.00</b>	<b>10.31</b>	<b>2.50m at 10.31g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>99.50</b>	<b>101.00</b>	<b>14.70</b>	<b>1.50m at 14.70g/t Au</b>
and	105.10	106.10	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>179.60</b>	<b>182.70</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>3.10m at 1.10g/t Au</b>

and	207.00	208.00	0.64	1.00m at 0.64g/t Au
and	216.30	217.40	0.22	1.10m at 0.22g/t Au
and	238.40	239.50	0.97	1.10m at 0.97g/t Au
MBDD013	3.80	10.15	0.52	6.35m at 0.52g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>4.80</b>	<b>6.80</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
and	36.40	37.70	0.56	1.30m at 0.56g/t Au
and	39.90	40.90	0.58	1.00m at 0.58g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>67.10</b>	<b>68.20</b>	<b>1.34</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.34g/t Au</b>
and	82.50	83.50	0.38	1.00m at 0.38g/t Au
and	87.60	90.60	0.62	3.00m at 0.62g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>87.60</b>	<b>88.60</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.50g/t Au</b>
and	103.50	105.50	0.39	2.00m at 0.39g/t Au
and	123.00	125.00	0.28	2.00m at 0.28g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>128.10</b>	<b>129.10</b>	<b>3.24</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.24g/t Au</b>
and	139.80	141.80	0.65	2.00m at 0.65g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>149.00</b>	<b>151.00</b>	<b>3.21</b>	<b>2.00m at 3.21g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>149.00</b>	<b>150.00</b>	<b>6.03</b>	<b>1.00m at 6.03g/t Au</b>
and	119.80	120.90	0.50	2.10m at 0.50g/t Au
<b>MBDD014</b>	<b>33.30</b>	<b>35.50</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>2.20m at 1.43g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>34.40</b>	<b>35.50</b>	<b>2.58</b>	<b>1.10m at 2.58g/t Au</b>
and	38.80	39.90	0.22	1.10m at 0.22g/t Au
and	125.50	126.60	0.34	1.10m at 0.34g/t Au
and	137.90	140.10	0.28	2.20m at 0.28g/t Au
and	151.50	153.50	0.26	2.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	155.60	156.60	0.42	1.00m at 0.42g/t Au
and	158.60	159.60	0.58	1.00m at 0.58g/t Au
and	192.10	193.20	0.21	1.10m at 0.21g/t Au
and	204.70	205.70	0.45	1.00m at 0.45g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>211.70</b>	<b>212.70</b>	<b>2.37</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.37g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>272.40</b>	<b>273.40</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.98g/t Au</b>
and	275.50	278.90	0.39	3.40m at 0.39g/t Au
and	305.30	306.40	0.27	1.10m at 0.27g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>308.60</b>	<b>310.80</b>	<b>1.77</b>	<b>2.20m at 1.77g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>309.70</b>	<b>310.80</b>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>1.10m at 3.32g/t Au</b>
and	315.30	316.30	0.43	1.00m at 0.43g/t Au
MBDD015	69.30	70.35	0.78	1.05m at 0.78g/t Au
and	145.60	146.60	0.66	1.00m at 0.66g/t Au
and	149.60	151.60	0.45	2.00m at 0.45g/t Au
and	153.60	154.60	0.41	1.00m at 0.41g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>164.20</b>	<b>166.20</b>	<b>2.19</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.19g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>164.20</b>	<b>165.20</b>	<b>4.14</b>	<b>1.00m at 4.14g/t Au</b>
and	173.30	174.30	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
and	180.70	185.10	0.94	4.40m at 0.94g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>180.70</b>	<b>184.00</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>3.30m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
and	219.40	221.70	0.22	2.30m at 0.22g/t Au

and	248.10	249.20	0.90	1.10m at 0.90g/t Au
and	268.70	272.90	0.35	4.20m at 0.35g/t Au
and	292.40	293.50	0.46	1.10m at 0.46g/t Au
MBDD016	10.70	18.50	0.40	7.80m at 0.40g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>71.10</b>	<b>72.20</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>1.10m at 3.40g/t Au</b>
and	82.50	83.50	0.44	1.00m at 0.44g/t Au
and	87.50	88.50	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>91.70</b>	<b>93.90</b>	<b>1.91</b>	<b>2.20m at 1.91g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>91.70</b>	<b>92.80</b>	<b>3.48</b>	<b>1.10m at 3.48g/t Au</b>
and	108.80	110.80	0.91	2.00m at 0.91g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>109.80</b>	<b>110.80</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.05g/t Au</b>
and	122.80	123.80	0.35	1.00m at 0.35g/t Au
and	156.90	161.10	0.41	4.20m at 0.41g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>157.90</b>	<b>158.90</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.03g/t Au</b>
and	167.70	168.80	0.55	1.10m at 0.55g/t Au
and	180.20	181.20	0.28	1.00m at 0.28g/t Au
and	186.20	190.20	0.20	4.00m at 0.20g/t Au
MBDD017	14.80	19.90	0.35	5.10m at 0.35g/t Au
and	22.00	23.10	0.26	1.10m at 0.26g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>32.20</b>	<b>34.40</b>	<b>2.47</b>	<b>2.20m at 2.47g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>32.20</b>	<b>33.20</b>	<b>4.13</b>	<b>1.00m at 4.13g/t Au</b>
and	37.60	39.70	0.42	2.10m at 0.42g/t Au
and	48.30	49.40	0.28	1.10m at 0.28g/t Au
and	52.70	53.80	0.36	1.10m at 0.36g/t Au
and	57.10	58.20	0.40	1.10m at 0.40g/t Au
and	60.40	62.60	0.93	2.20m at 0.93g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>61.50</b>	<b>62.60</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.51g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>65.90</b>	<b>67.00</b>	<b>9.35</b>	<b>1.10m at 9.35g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>70.30</b>	<b>71.30</b>	<b>1.83</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.83g/t Au</b>
and	74.40	81.50	0.36	7.10m at 0.36g/t Au
and	102.60	103.60	0.73	1.00m at 0.73g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>109.00</b>	<b>112.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.00g/t Au</b>
and	122.80	126.00	0.86	3.20m at 0.86g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>125.00</b>	<b>126.00</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
and	147.90	148.90	0.56	1.00m at 0.56g/t Au
and	156.40	159.30	0.92	2.90m at 0.92g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>158.30</b>	<b>159.30</b>	<b>2.36</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.36g/t Au</b>
and	215.50	216.50	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
and	235.80	236.80	0.87	1.00m at 0.87g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>263.50</b>	<b>266.50</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.59g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>265.50</b>	<b>266.50</b>	<b>3.71</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.71g/t Au</b>
and	268.70	272.00	0.45	3.30m at 0.45g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>270.90</b>	<b>272.00</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.12g/t Au</b>
and	292.05	294.20	0.32	2.15m at 0.32g/t Au
<b>MBDD018</b>	<b>21.00</b>	<b>24.00</b>	<b>1.53</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.53g/t Au</b>

<i>including</i>	<b>21.00</b>	<b>22.00</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.52g/t Au</b>
and	31.70	32.80	0.26	1.10m at 0.26g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>38.90</b>	<b>39.90</b>	<b>9.86</b>	<b>1.00m at 9.86g/t Au</b>
and	94.50	95.50	0.40	1.00m at 0.40g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>103.00</b>	<b>104.10</b>	<b>4.97</b>	<b>1.10m at 4.97g/t Au</b>
and	118.40	119.40	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
and	123.50	125.50	0.43	2.00m at 0.43g/t Au
and	127.70	128.80	0.25	1.10m at 0.25g/t Au
and	133.60	136.80	0.47	3.20m at 0.47g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>141.70</b>	<b>144.70</b>	<b>17.66</b>	<b>3.00m at 17.66g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>142.70</b>	<b>144.70</b>	<b>26.31</b>	<b>2.00m at 26.31g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>156.10</b>	<b>157.10</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.52g/t Au</b>
and	219.10	221.10	0.40	2.00m at 0.40g/t Au
and	256.40	257.40	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
MBDD019	2.60	3.60	0.41	1.00m at 0.41g/t Au
and	24.90	34.20	0.58	9.30m at 0.58g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>24.90</b>	<b>28.90</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>4.00m at 1.01g/t Au</b>
and	48.80	51.90	0.69	3.10m at 0.69g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>50.90</b>	<b>51.90</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.43g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>53.90</b>	<b>56.90</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.18g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>55.90</b>	<b>56.90</b>	<b>3.09</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.09g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>69.10</b>	<b>71.20</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>2.10m at 1.31g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>70.20</b>	<b>71.20</b>	<b>2.33</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.33g/t Au</b>
and	76.70	78.90	0.42	2.20m at 0.42g/t Au
and	106.40	107.40	0.28	1.00m at 0.28g/t Au
and	109.40	110.40	0.31	1.00m at 0.31g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>113.50</b>	<b>119.65</b>	<b>19.67</b>	<b>6.15m at 19.67g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>118.65</b>	<b>119.65</b>	<b>119.10</b>	<b>1.00m at 119.10g/t Au</b>
and	153.60	154.70	0.27	1.10m at 0.27g/t Au
and	218.40	221.70	0.27	3.30m at 0.27g/t Au
MBDD020	16.80	17.80	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	28.40	29.50	0.20	1.10m at 0.20g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>71.40</b>	<b>75.40</b>	<b>2.94</b>	<b>4.00m at 2.94g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>72.40</b>	<b>73.40</b>	<b>9.59</b>	<b>1.00m at 9.59g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>118.30</b>	<b>119.30</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>1.00m at 4.39g/t Au</b>
and	168.30	169.30	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	174.30	181.60	0.87	7.80m at 0.87g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>174.30</b>	<b>177.30</b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.54g/t Au</b>
and	185.80	191.55	0.25	5.75m at 0.25g/t Au
MBDD021	5.20	6.30	0.96	1.10m at 0.96g/t Au
and	68.00	69.00	0.37	1.00m at 0.37g/t Au
and	72.00	73.00	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>75.00</b>	<b>76.00</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.30g/t Au</b>
and	85.10	91.00	0.21	5.90m at 0.21g/t Au
and	94.00	96.00	0.32	2.00m at 0.32g/t Au

and	108.00	111.00	0.42	3.00m at 0.42g/t Au
and	161.90	167.00	0.31	5.10m at 0.31g/t Au
and	192.40	193.40	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	194.40	195.40	0.27	1.00m at 0.27g/t Au
and	201.40	202.40	0.32	1.00m at 0.32g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>208.40</b>	<b>209.40</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.13g/t Au</b>
MBDD022	5.50	18.00	0.74	12.50m at 0.74g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>8.50</b>	<b>9.50</b>	<b>1.33</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.33g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>12.80</b>	<b>17.00</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>4.20m at 1.31g/t Au</b>
and	30.20	31.20	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	39.50	49.00	0.45	9.50m at 0.45g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>47.00</b>	<b>48.00</b>	<b>1.48</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.48g/t Au</b>
and	61.40	69.40	0.53	8.00m at 0.53g/t Au
and	72.75	73.90	0.23	1.15m at 0.23g/t Au
and	78.40	79.55	0.22	1.15m at 0.22g/t Au
and	83.60	88.60	0.31	5.00m at 0.31g/t Au
and	95.60	96.60	0.65	1.00m at 0.65g/t Au
and	104.10	105.20	0.23	1.10m at 0.23g/t Au
and	110.60	111.60	0.30	1.00m at 0.30g/t Au
and	118.80	119.90	0.32	1.10m at 0.32g/t Au
and	127.00	128.00	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	139.30	142.40	0.34	3.10m at 0.34g/t Au
and	153.40	154.40	0.30	1.00m at 0.30g/t Au
and	187.50	188.50	0.92	1.00m at 0.92g/t Au
and	190.70	191.80	0.30	1.10m at 0.30g/t Au
MBDD023	5.50	7.40	0.33	1.90m at 0.33g/t Au
and	20.40	21.40	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>57.40</b>	<b>58.40</b>	<b>1.55</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.55g/t Au</b>
and	63.80	65.00	0.44	1.20m at 0.44g/t Au
and	71.40	72.50	0.24	1.10m at 0.24g/t Au
and	87.80	92.80	0.87	5.00m at 0.87g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>89.80</b>	<b>90.80</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.52g/t Au</b>
and	102.40	103.50	0.46	1.10m at 0.46g/t Au
and	175.90	176.90	0.95	1.00m at 0.95g/t Au
and	192.20	193.20	0.52	1.00m at 0.52g/t Au
and	198.70	201.90	0.20	3.20m at 0.20g/t Au
and	204.30	205.30	0.97	1.00m at 0.97g/t Au
and	213.00	214.20	0.22	1.20m at 0.22g/t Au
and	223.80	233.30	0.41	9.50m at 0.41g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>232.30</b>	<b>233.30</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.06g/t Au</b>
and	235.40	236.50	0.23	1.10m at 0.23g/t Au
and	241.50	242.50	0.25	1.10m at 0.25g/t Au
MBDD024	5.30	6.30	0.20	1.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	33.30	34.40	0.29	1.10m at 0.29g/t Au
and	44.40	45.60	0.39	1.20m at 0.39g/t Au

and	59.10	60.30	0.38	1.20m at 0.38g/t Au
and	73.30	74.50	0.26	1.20m at 0.26g/t Au
and	76.70	77.80	0.31	1.10m at 0.31g/t Au
and	81.10	82.10	0.76	1.00m at 0.76g/t Au
and	85.10	96.10	0.73	11.00m at 0.73g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>85.10</b>	<b>91.10</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>6.00m at 1.13g/t Au</b>
and	99.30	100.40	0.22	1.10m at 0.22g/t Au
and	110.30	111.30	0.68	1.00m at 0.68g/t Au
and	116.90	146.70	0.79	29.80m at 0.79g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>133.00</b>	<b>146.70</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>13.70m at 1.36g/t Au</b>
and	152.30	157.80	0.29	5.50m at 0.29g/t Au
and	162.70	164.80	0.55	2.10m at 0.55g/t Au
and	167.00	171.55	0.47	4.55m at 0.47g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>169.35</b>	<b>170.40</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.05m at 1.08g/t Au</b>
and	175.00	176.50	0.22	1.50m at 0.22g/t Au
and	186.00	187.00	0.31	1.00m at 0.31g/t Au
and	200.80	201.80	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	216.40	217.40	0.84	1.00m at 0.84g/t Au

\* Intervals greater than 1.00m, calculated using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade and no more than 5.00m consecutive dilution or 35% total internal dilution. True widths are not currently known.

Table 4. MB01-N drill collar table with co-ordinates, reduced level (RL), and final hole depths Co-ordinates and RL have been acquired by a DGPS. All holes drilled at -50° inclination. All holes drilled towards 270° except MBDD034 (090° ) and MBDD038 (180° ).

Hole ID	Hole Type	Max Depth (m)	Grid	Easting	Northing	RL (m)
MBDD025	DDH	200.00	WGS84_33N	347378.9	874311.5	616.6551
MBDD026	DDH	200.00	WGS84_33N	347436.6	874094.3	606.6843
MBDD027	DDH	220.00	WGS84_33N	347471.2	873989.4	614.6187
MBDD028	DDH	220.00	WGS84_33N	347385.3	874422.3	613.8731
MBDD029	DDH	240.00	WGS84_33N	347464.3	874324.2	607.9502
MBDD030	DDH	220.00	WGS84_33N	347441.9	874206.1	612.0605
MBDD031	DDH	149.60	WGS84_33N	347161.1	874274.9	633.3474
MBDD032	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	347516.6	874098.4	602.8914
MBDD033	DDH	200.00	WGS84_33N	347461.1	873871.6	619.4331
MBDD034	DDH	200.00	WGS84_33N	347302.7	874095.6	619.1755
MBDD035	DDH	256.60	WGS84_33N	347509.7	873869.7	616.7365
MBDD036	DDH	150.00	WGS84_33N	347466.7	873734	623.4785
MBDD037	DDH	147.90	WGS84_33N	348185.1	873625	604.0690
MBDD038	DDH	150.00	WGS84_33N	347378.6	874134.2	613.3010
MBDD039	DDH	178.70	WGS84_33N	347414.0	873985.5	618.1528

**Table 5. Selected intersections from Phase 2 drilling at MB01-N (MBDD025 and MBDD039) using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade. Results > 1g/t Au are highlighted in bold.**

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Grade (g/t Au)	Intersection*
MBDD025	28.20	31.20	0.94	3.00m at 0.94g/t Au
and	37.20	53.40	0.77	16.20m at 0.77g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>40.20</b>	<b>50.30</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>10.10m at 1.08g/t Au</b>
and	56.80	57.90	0.23	1.10m at 0.23g/t Au
and	61.00	70.20	0.42	9.20m at 0.42g/t Au
<i>and</i>	<b>82.20</b>	<b>88.40</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.20m at 1.00g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>83.30</b>	<b>84.40</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>1.10m at 2.45g/t Au</b>
MBDD026	<b>41.80</b>	<b>45.80</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>4.00m at 1.52g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>41.80</b>	<b>42.80</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.16g/t Au</b>
and	67.60	69.70	0.56	2.10m at 0.56g/t Au
<i>and</i>	<b>86.80</b>	<b>108.50</b>	<b>3.13</b>	<b>21.70m at 3.13g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>100.30</b>	<b>107.50</b>	<b>8.19</b>	<b>7.20m at 8.19g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>103.50</b>	<b>104.50</b>	<b>42.50</b>	<b>1.00m at 42.50g/t Au</b>
and	112.70	115.70	0.26	3.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	118.70	119.70	0.87	1.00m at 0.87g/t Au
and	123.70	127.90	0.37	4.20m at 0.37g/t Au
and	138.50	139.70	0.22	1.20m at 0.22g/t Au
and	145.90	146.90	0.42	1.00m at 0.42g/t Au
MBDD027	45.00	46.00	0.86	1.00m at 0.86g/t Au
<i>and</i>	<b>52.00</b>	<b>54.00</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>2.00m at 6.57g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>52.00</b>	<b>53.00</b>	<b>12.90</b>	<b>1.00m at 12.90g/t Au</b>
and	60.00	63.00	0.82	3.00m at 0.82g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>60.00</b>	<b>61.00</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.59g/t Au</b>
and	66.30	69.30	0.51	3.00m at 0.51g/t Au
and	73.30	80.65	0.59	7.35m at 0.59g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>73.30</b>	<b>76.30</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.23g/t Au</b>
and	106.50	121.30	0.73	14.80m at 0.73g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>106.50</b>	<b>109.50</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>3.00m at 1.37g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>112.70</b>	<b>113.80</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>1.10m at 2.30g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>118.20</b>	<b>119.20</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.21g/t Au</b>
and	140.70	141.80	0.25	1.10m at 0.25g/t Au
<i>and</i>	<b>152.40</b>	<b>168.50</b>	<b>2.49</b>	<b>16.10m at 2.49g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>159.50</b>	<b>160.50</b>	<b>28.60</b>	<b>1.00m at 28.60g/t Au</b>
and	174.70	175.70	0.74	1.00m at 0.74g/t Au
<i>and</i>	<b>176.80</b>	<b>177.80</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.35g/t Au</b>
and	202.00	203.10	0.40	1.10m at 0.40g/t Au
and	207.40	208.40	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
MBDD028	55.00	72.10	0.60	17.10m at 0.60g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>58.00</b>	<b>60.00</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.42g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>69.20</b>	<b>70.20</b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.72g/t Au</b>
and	76.50	77.60	0.24	1.10m at 0.24g/t Au
and	93.50	94.65	0.42	1.15m at 0.42g/t Au
and	125.30	126.30	0.35	1.00m at 0.35g/t Au

and	167.10	168.20	0.25	1.10m at 0.25g/t Au
MBDD029	121.10	122.10	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>128.10</b>	<b>130.10</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.50g/t Au</b>
and	158.75	159.80	0.80	1.05m at 0.80g/t Au
MBDD030	77.80	78.90	0.38	1.10m at 0.38g/t Au
and	84.40	85.40	0.31	1.00m at 0.31g/t Au
and	127.50	129.50	0.91	2.00m at 0.91g/t Au
and	132.80	134.00	0.29	1.20m at 0.29g/t Au
and	139.90	143.00	0.74	3.10m at 0.74g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>141.00</b>	<b>142.00</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.09g/t Au</b>
and	151.30	154.40	0.47	3.10m at 0.47g/t Au
and	167.30	168.30	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
MBDD031	<b>17.50</b>	<b>18.50</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>1.00m at 4.42g/t Au</b>
and	32.70	33.90	0.47	1.20m at 0.47g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>37.40</b>	<b>38.40</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.63g/t Au</b>
and	43.50	45.50	0.39	2.00m at 0.39g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>49.50</b>	<b>56.50</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>7.00m at 1.02g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>55.50</b>	<b>56.50</b>	<b>3.99</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.99g/t Au</b>
and	60.50	61.50	0.41	1.00m at 0.41g/t Au
and	99.30	100.30	0.23	1.00m at 0.23g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>113.70</b>	<b>115.70</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.51g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>113.70</b>	<b>114.70</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.67g/t Au</b>
MBDD032	135.10	136.30	0.45	1.20m at 0.45g/t Au
and	159.00	160.10	0.28	1.10m at 0.28g/t Au
and	212.50	216.50	0.35	4.00m at 0.35g/t Au
and	241.40	242.50	0.21	1.10m at 0.21g/t Au
MBDD033	14.90	19.10	0.49	4.20m at 0.49g/t Au
and	32.60	36.60	0.33	4.00m at 0.33g/t Au
and	47.00	49.00	0.79	2.00m at 0.79g/t Au
and	54.00	55.10	0.30	1.10m at 0.30g/t Au
and	104.10	105.20	0.35	1.10m at 0.35g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>108.50</b>	<b>109.60</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.47g/t Au</b>
and	111.70	113.90	0.50	2.20m at 0.50g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>154.90</b>	<b>155.90</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.03g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>177.20</b>	<b>178.30</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>1.10m at 4.39g/t Au</b>
MBDD034	52.30	53.30	0.37	1.00m at 0.37g/t Au
and	55.30	59.50	0.52	4.20m at 0.52g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>58.50</b>	<b>59.50</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.08g/t Au</b>
and	65.80	66.90	0.22	1.10m at 0.22g/t Au
and	82.10	116.90	0.63	34.80m at 0.63g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>84.30</b>	<b>92.10</b>	<b>1.29</b>	<b>7.80m at 1.29g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>105.70</b>	<b>106.70</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.21g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>111.00</b>	<b>112.10</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.36g/t Au</b>
and	121.50	122.60	0.24	1.10m at 0.24g/t Au
and	123.70	124.80	0.23	1.10m at 0.23g/t Au
and	143.60	144.60	0.94	1.00m at 0.94g/t Au

and	148.20	149.30	0.43	1.10m at 0.43g/t Au
and	152.50	154.50	0.91	2.00m at 0.91g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>153.50</b>	<b>154.50</b>	<b>1.60</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.60g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>157.80</b>	<b>158.90</b>	<b>1.04</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.04g/t Au</b>
and	179.90	181.00	0.33	1.10m at 0.33g/t Au
MBDD035	62.85	63.95	0.22	1.10m at 0.22g/t Au
and	117.90	120.10	0.25	2.20m at 0.25g/t Au
and	128.30	132.30	0.63	4.00m at 0.63g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>129.30</b>	<b>130.30</b>	<b>1.61</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.61g/t Au</b>
and	151.30	153.30	0.74	2.00m at 0.74g/t Au
and	169.20	171.20	0.38	2.00m at 0.38g/t Au
and	177.50	186.20	0.81	8.70m at 0.81g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>178.50</b>	<b>179.50</b>	<b>4.16</b>	<b>1.00m at 4.16g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>221.80</b>	<b>222.80</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.35g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>225.80</b>	<b>226.80</b>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.09g/t Au</b>
and	231.00	233.00	0.20	2.00m at 0.20g/t Au
and	236.00	237.00	0.29	1.00m at 0.29g/t Au
and	246.10	253.20	0.49	7.10m at 0.49g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>250.10</b>	<b>251.10</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.06g/t Au</b>
MBDD036	4.50	8.60	0.31	4.10m at 0.31g/t Au
and	18.80	19.80	0.21	1.00m at 0.21g/t Au
and	24.50	25.60	0.88	1.10m at 0.88g/t Au
and	73.90	78.00	0.52	4.10m at 0.52g/t Au
and	89.50	90.50	0.45	1.00m at 0.45g/t Au
and	94.50	95.50	0.31	1.00m at 0.31g/t Au
and	100.80	101.80	0.42	1.00m at 0.42g/t Au
MBDD037**	36.20	38.20	0.32	2.00m at 0.32g/t Au
MBDD038	24.30	25.30	0.27	1.00m at 0.27g/t Au
and	31.30	34.30	0.34	3.00m at 0.34g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>39.80</b>	<b>40.80</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.40g/t Au</b>
and	43.80	44.80	0.75	1.00m at 0.75g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>48.90</b>	<b>49.90</b>	<b>3.15</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.15g/t Au</b>
and	67.90	68.90	0.40	1.00m at 0.40g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>71.10</b>	<b>73.10</b>	<b>1.73</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.73g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>72.10</b>	<b>73.10</b>	<b>2.93</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.93g/t Au</b>
and	76.20	78.30	0.35	2.10m at 0.35g/t Au
and	81.80	90.80	0.87	9.00m at 0.87g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>81.80</b>	<b>85.80</b>	<b>1.55</b>	<b>4.00m at 1.55g/t Au</b>
and	97.80	98.80	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	100.80	101.80	0.36	1.00m at 0.36g/t Au
and	108.40	113.50	0.30	5.10m at 0.30g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>118.10</b>	<b>139.40</b>	<b>1.22</b>	<b>21.30m at 1.22g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>120.10</b>	<b>127.10</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>7.00m at 2.09g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>138.40</b>	<b>139.40</b>	<b>3.29</b>	<b>1.00m at 3.29g/t Au</b>
and	147.90	149.00	0.28	1.10m at 0.28g/t Au
MBDD039	4.70	60.90	0.99	56.20m at 0.99g/t Au

<i>including</i>	<b>13.10</b>	<b>14.10</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.42g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>19.40</b>	<b>20.50</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.51g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>22.60</b>	<b>24.60</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.16g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>27.70</b>	<b>28.80</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.44g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>31.00</b>	<b>32.00</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.32g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>34.20</b>	<b>48.80</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>14.60m at 2.03g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>52.80</b>	<b>53.80</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.00g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>58.90</b>	<b>60.90</b>	<b>3.14</b>	<b>2.00m at 3.14g/t Au</b>
and	68.90	71.90	0.64	3.00m at 0.64g/t Au
and	85.90	88.90	0.39	3.00m at 0.39g/t Au
and	89.90	91.00	0.20	1.10m at 0.20g/t Au
and	94.30	118.30	0.47	24.00m at 0.47g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>97.50</b>	<b>99.50</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>2.00m at 2.21g/t Au</b>

\* Intersections greater than 1.00m, calculated using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade and no more than 5.00m consecutive internal dilution or 35% total internal dilution. True widths are not currently known.

\*\* Hole selectively sampled

**Table 6. MB01-S Step out programme drill collar table with co-ordinates, reduced level (RL), and final hole depths to date. All holes drilled at -50° inclination towards 270°. Measurements have been acquired by a hand-held GPS and will be updated with DGPS upon programme completion.**

Hole ID	Hole Type	Max Depth (m)	Grid	Easting	Northing	RL (m)
MBDD040	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346460.7	872988.4	607
MBDD041	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346459.3	872892.4	606
MBDD042	DDH	250.00	WGS84_33N	346805.3	872888	606
MBDD043	DDH	245.50	WGS84_33N	346378.8	872606.7	611
MBDD044	DDH	245.00	WGS84_33N	346298.8	872606.9	611
MBDD045	DDH	236.50	WGS84_33N	346618	872306	622
MBDD046	DDH	In progress	WGS84_33N			

**Table 7. Calculated intersections from Phase 3 holes MBDD040 to MBDD044, using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade. Results greater than 1.00g/t Au are in bold and 'including' intervals are in bold italics.**

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Au Grade (g/t)	Intersection*
<b>MBDD040</b>	<b>40.50</b>	<b>43.50</b>	<b>2.54</b>	<b>3.00m at 2.54g/t Au</b>
<i>including</i>	<b>42.50</b>	<b>43.50</b>	<b>6.51</b>	<b>1.00m at 6.51g/t Au</b>
and	46.10	47.35	0.95	1.25m at 0.95g/t Au
and	53.70	58.50	0.96	4.80m at 0.96g/t Au
<i>including</i>	<b>56.30</b>	<b>57.40</b>	<b>3.07</b>	<b>1.10m at 3.07g/t Au</b>
and	85.30	86.30	0.83	1.00m at 0.83g/t Au
and	100.90	102.10	0.45	1.20m at 0.45g/t Au
and	108.50	111.60	0.20	3.10m at 0.20g/t Au
and	171.50	172.50	0.65	1.00m at 0.65g/t Au
and	180.50	181.50	0.29	1.00m at 0.29g/t Au
and	186.80	187.90	0.30	1.10m at 0.30g/t Au
and	193.65	194.80	0.21	1.15m at 0.21g/t Au

<b>and</b>	<b>214.60</b>	<b>217.60</b>	<b>2.82</b>	<b>3.00m at 2.82g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>214.60</b>	<b>215.60</b>	<b>7.38</b>	<b>1.00m at 7.38g/t Au</b>
and	236.30	237.30	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au
and	238.30	240.20	0.21	1.90m at 0.21g/t Au
and	242.20	243.20	0.30	1.00m at 0.30g/t Au
MBDD041	4.00	7.10	0.70	3.10m at 0.70g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>1.49</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.49g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>58.40</b>	<b>59.40</b>	<b>59.00</b>	<b>1.00m at 59.00g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>88.30</b>	<b>89.40</b>	<b>5.64</b>	<b>1.10m at 5.64g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>93.80</b>	<b>104.30</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>10.50m at 2.46g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>99.00</b>	<b>102.10</b>	<b>7.56</b>	<b>3.10m at 7.56g/t Au</b>
and	107.60	108.70	0.44	1.10m at 0.44g/t Au
and	110.90	113.20	0.33	2.30m at 0.33g/t Au
and	127.50	128.60	0.88	1.10m at 0.88g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>133.40</b>	<b>135.40</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>2.00m at 3.00g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>134.40</b>	<b>135.40</b>	<b>5.44</b>	<b>1.00m at 5.44g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>141.70</b>	<b>142.70</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.37g/t Au</b>
MBDD042	14.20	15.40	0.65	1.20m at 0.65g/t Au
and	38.90	40.10	0.72	1.20m at 0.72g/t Au
and	66.30	69.30	0.38	3.00m at 0.38g/t Au
and	88.60	91.70	0.37	3.10m at 0.37g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>134.10</b>	<b>135.10</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.53g/t Au</b>
and	154.10	155.10	0.24	1.00m at 0.24g/t Au
and	230.00	231.00	0.94	1.00m at 0.94g/t Au
MBDD043	12.10	14.30	0.99	2.20m at 0.99g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>12.10</b>	<b>13.20</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>1.10m at 1.76g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>26.30</b>	<b>27.30</b>	<b>1.11</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.11g/t Au</b>
and	36.50	37.50	0.39	1.00m at 0.39g/t Au
and	53.30	80.90	0.58	27.60m at 0.58g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>54.30</b>	<b>55.40</b>	<b>4.08</b>	<b>1.10m at 4.08g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>78.10</b>	<b>80.90</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>2.80m at 1.20g/t Au</b>
and	89.50	90.50	0.27	1.00m at 0.27g/t Au
and	94.50	95.50	0.42	1.00m at 0.42g/t Au
and	113.60	114.70	0.98	1.10m at 0.98g/t Au
and	117.70	118.80	0.21	1.10m at 0.21g/t Au
and	129.70	130.80	0.51	1.10m at 0.51g/t Au
and	169.50	170.50	0.27	1.00m at 0.27g/t Au
and	197.40	200.40	0.32	3.00m at 0.32g/t Au
and	203.40	204.40	0.44	1.00m at 0.44g/t Au
and	215.70	216.80	0.41	1.10m at 0.41g/t Au
and	224.90	226.20	0.40	1.30m at 0.40g/t Au
and	229.50	230.50	0.26	1.00m at 0.26g/t Au
and	235.90	237.90	0.99	2.00m at 0.99g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>235.90</b>	<b>236.90</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.64g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>240.05</b>	<b>241.05</b>	<b>1.23</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.23g/t Au</b>
MBDD044	20.40	22.60	0.36	2.20m at 0.36g/t Au

and	42.50	43.50	0.57	1.00m at 0.57g/t Au
<b>and</b>	<b>47.90</b>	<b>49.90</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>2.00m at 1.06g/t Au</b>
and	54.90	56.90	0.88	2.00m at 0.88g/t Au
<b>including</b>	<b>54.90</b>	<b>55.90</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>1.00m at 1.45g/t Au</b>
<b>and</b>	<b>96.00</b>	<b>123.20</b>	<b>1.69</b>	<b>27.20m at 1.69g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>98.00</b>	<b>104.00</b>	<b>5.81</b>	<b>6.00m at 5.81g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>108.00</b>	<b>109.00</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.09g/t Au</b>
<b>including</b>	<b>113.20</b>	<b>114.20</b>	<b>2.19</b>	<b>1.00m at 2.19g/t Au</b>
and	143.70	144.70	0.22	1.00m at 0.22g/t Au

\*Intervals greater than 1.00m, calculated using a 0.20g/t Au lower cut-off grade and no more than 5.00m consecutive dilution or 35% total internal dilution. True widths are not currently known.